SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 11 October 2017 Accepted: 24 January 2018 Published online: 13 February 2018

OPEN A new method to optimize root **order classifcation based on the diameter interval of fne root**

Ying Liu1,2, Guoliang Wang2, Kunxia Yu1, Peng Li1, Lie Xiao1,2 & Guobin Liu²

Plant roots are a highly heterogeneous and hierarchical system. Although the root-order method is superior to the root diameter method for revealing diferences in the morphology and physiology of fne roots, its complex partitioning limits its application. Whether root order can be determined by partitioning the main root based on its diameter remains uncertain. Four methods were employed for studying the morphological characteristics of seedling roots of two *Pinus* **species in a natural and nitrogen-enriched environment. The intrinsic relationships among categories of roots by root order and diameter were systematically compared to explore the possibility of using the latter to describe** root morphology. The normal transformation method proved superior to the other three in that the **diameter intervals corresponded most closely (at least 68.3%) to the morphological characteristics. The applied methods clearly distinguished the results from the natural and nitrogen-rich environments. Considering both root diameter and order simplifed the classifcation of fne roots, and improved the estimation of root lifespan and the data integrity of feld collection, but failed to partition all roots into uniform diameter intervals. CHERE FURCH SET ASSEMATE AND SET AS A SUMPLE CONDUCT AND STRAP TO THIS INTERFORM TO THIS IN the s[p](#page-10-1)ecific of the roots, its complex partitioning limits its application. Whe interroot order partitioning the min root of dia**

Plant roots are highly heterogeneous and hierarchical. Roots that differ in diameter and order also differ in morphology, anatomy, and physiology¹. Classifying roots by diameter is the most common research method due to its easy application². Many studies define fine roots as those smaller than 1-2 mm in diameter^{[8](#page-9-3),[9](#page-9-4)}, whereas other studies define the first two or three root orders as fine roots^{10,11}. However, recent research on root anatomy indicates that unlignified fine roots are mainly first- or second-order roots with a small number of third-order roots¹², whereas third-order roots and beyond are mostly lignifed. For tree species, most third-order roots have a mean diameter of less than 0.50mm; for example, third-order roots of *Fraxinus mandschurica* Rupr. average 0.43mm in diameter13, whereas those of *Acer saccharum* Marsh., *Populus euphratica* Olivier, *Quercus fabri* Hance, and *Pinus resinosa* Ait. are all smaller than 0.40 mm10. Tus, distinguishing fne and coarse roots by their diameter fails to reveal the diferences in internal structure and function (i.e., order and position) of fne roots, resulting in large errors in estimating their turnover rates, lifespans^{14,15}, and below-ground contributions¹⁶.

Studies on root system hierarchy show that the anatomy and physiology of roots change with higher root order. For example, diameter increases whereas specifc root length (SRL) and specifc root surface area (SRA) decrease with root orde[r10](#page-9-5)[,17](#page-10-5)[–20;](#page-10-6) the main function changes from absorbing water and nutrients to transporting and anchoring^{21–23}; the anatomical structure changes from primary to secondary^{[24](#page-10-9)}; the extent of mycorrhizal col-onization^{[13](#page-10-1)} and respiration intensity^{[17](#page-10-5)} decreases; and, the lifespan is extended and the turnover rate declines^{25,26}. Conversely, these parameters are more consistent among fine roots of the same order^{14,23}. Therefore, lifespans and rates of turnover and respiration based on root order are significantly more reliable^{[10](#page-9-5),[11](#page-9-6),[27](#page-10-12)}. However, the root-order method also poses a problem. In a large field sample of root fragments or individual roots, it is difficult to identify the order of a given root or fragment. An easier method in which roots are grouped based on their diameter and order will overcome this drawback when classifying fne roots (smaller than 1–2mm).

Intrinsic correlations do exist between root diameter and other root characteristics; for example, the diameter of fine roots tends to increase with root order. Several studies^{28,29} have shown an association between root order and diameter classifcation. Specifcally, when a given fne root diameter interval encompasses most roots of a given root order, which match in number, length, and biomass, then studies on fne root lifespan and turnover

¹State Key Laboratory of Eco-hydraulics in Northwest Arid Region of China, Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an, 710048, China. ²State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.W. (email: glwang@nwsuaf.edu.cn)

rate will be less prone to errors¹¹. Chang and Guo^{[30](#page-10-15)} studied variations in the diameter of the first five root orders of 45 common tree species and showed that mean diameter increased exponentially with root order. Such studies thus indicate the possibility of establishing a relationship between fne root order and diameter.

Although the diameter ranges of diferent root orders overlap to some extent, tree species have numerous fne roots in the first several orders. The overlap between two adjacent orders of root diameters can be partitioned using mathematical methods such that most roots–whether coarse or fne–in the partitioned diameter range belong to the lower root order, whereas fne roots in another diameter range belong to the higher root order. In the present study, we assumed that root distribution in terms of diameter in every root order was normal or quasi-normal. According to the rule of normal distribution, namely the $68.3-95.0-99.7^{31}$ $68.3-95.0-99.7^{31}$ $68.3-95.0-99.7^{31}$ or three-sigma rule³¹⁻³³, if the morphological parameters (e.g., length, area, and number of root tips) of 68.3% of roots in a given root order fall within the partitioned diameter interval, then that interval represents that particular root order. In accordance with the above assumption–namely that a diameter interval represents a root order – we partitioned the overlapping zone between two adjacent root orders using four methods. We then compared the results to determine the optimal partitioning method, and tested the chosen method by studying the roots of *Pinus tabuliformis* Carrière and *Pinus bungeana* Zucc. in a natural environment and those of *P*. *tabuliformis* in a nitrogen-enriched environment. Tis new method can simplify the classifcation of fne roots, more accurately estimate root lifespan, and improve data integrity of collected root fragments.

Materials and Methods

Root excavation. Pine seedlings were collected from a tree nursery in Xinjiazhai (33°40′N, 107°38′E) in Zhouzhi county in Shaanxi Province, China. Tis area has a temperate continental monsoon climate with an annual average temperature of 13.2 °C, precipitation of 674.3mm, sunshine duration of 1993.7h, and frost-free season of 225 d. Eighteen 2-year-old seedlings of *P*. *tabuliformis* (height 13.3–15.3 cm and collar diameter of 2.0–3.1mm) and of *P*. *bungeana* (height 10.6–12.3 cm and collar diameter of 1.9–2.6mm) were selected from the tree nursery in June 2010. A soil block containing the whole plant was dug around the plastic pots (each 5.0 cm in diameter and 25.0 cm tall) in which the seedlings had been placed at the time of transplanting. When the roots outgrew the feeding block, the entire seedling along with the block and surrounding soil of the root bed was dug out and packed into a plastic bag. All transplanted seedlings were transferred to the laboratory in a cold storage box maintained at 1–3 °C and then stored at −20 °C.

Another set of *P*. *tabuliformis* seedlings was transplanted into PVC tubes (35.0 cm in diameter and 40.0 cm tall) filled with forest soil in June 2010. The soil was a calcic cambisol³⁴ consisting of aeolian loess highly prone to erosion. The physicochemical characteristics of the soil were as follows: density, 1.14 g/cm³; pH, 7.9 \pm 0.2; total P, 1.40 ± 0.38 g/kg; total N, 0.73 ± 0.21 g/kg; and organic matter, 9.6 ± 0.75 g/kg (mean \pm SD, n = 6). The tubes were given a 5.6 g/m² dose of N as urea (Fumin Agriculture Product Company, Xian, China) dissolved in 10 mL of distilled water. The dose was given on three separate occasions on days on which it rained (5 June and 18 September 2010 and 28 March 2011). The roots of these seedlings were excavated on 5 June 2011 following the same method described above. At that time, the seedlings were 14.1–16.4cm tall and collar diameter ranged from 2.3 to 3.7mm.

Root dissection and scanning by the root-order method. The soil around the roots was washed off with cold deionized water $(2-3 \degree C)$. The cleaned roots were then placed in culture dishes containing deionized water ice and sorted by order. The roots of each seedling were first divided into several segments based on Pregitzer's root-order classification method¹⁰. Distal roots made up the first-order roots (order I), the next segment comprised order II roots, and so on, moving down the root system to order VI, which formed the largest category. Each root was removed using tweezers. The first-order roots were placed in a sink filled with iced water and immediately scanned (Expression 4490, Epson, Beijing, China); the other roots were placed on transparent flms and then scanned (resolution of 300 dpi). Only live roots were measured; dead roots were discarded. Because only a few *P*. *tabuliformis* seedlings had roots up to order VI and all *P*. *bungeana* seedlings had roots only in the frst fve orders, only these orders were included for analysis. Roots from broken segments, which accounted for less than 1% of the total biomass of all fve orders, were also excluded from analysis. Root length, diameter, and surface area were measured using a WinRHIZO 2010 image analyzer (Regent Instruments Inc., Ville de Québec, Canada). All roots were dried to a constant weight in an oven at 65 °C and then weighed with a balance scale to the nearest 0.001 g (Shanghai Precision Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Specifc root lengths were calculated as the ratio of root length to root biomass (dry weight), and specifc root surface areas as the ratio of root surface area to biomass (dry weight) for each root order or each root class by diameter. **Materials and Methods**
 Root excavation. This seedlings were collected from a tree nursery $\cdot x$ Xiny
 Chouchi countly in Shanxii Province, China. This area has a tempe are con her

amuual average temperature of 13.2

Diameter classification method. The diameter of every single root was calculated from the scanned images. Each root had its unique diameter, length, and surface area. To compare our results with those from earlier studies, we used the most commonly applied diameter thresholds and divided the data accordingly at intervals of 0.5mm: Class D1 comprised roots with diameters<0.5mm; D2, 0.5–1.0mm; D3, 1.0–1.5mm; D4, 1.5–2.0mm; and D5,>2.0mm.

Correlation between root diameter and root order. The roots of *P. tabuliformis* (2,846 roots) were grouped by diameter using each of the four methods. To ascertain the correlation between these groups and root orders, roots of seedlings from *P*. *bungeana* (2,457 roots) and *P*. *tabuliformis* grown in nitrogen-enriched soil $(1,244 \text{ roots})$ were also sorted and grouped by diameter. The four methods used were the probability distribution function interval, probability distribution function intersection, quartile averaging, and normal distribution transformation. Table [1](#page-2-0) defnes and provides the specifc procedures of the four approaches.

Comparison of four methods. The present study assumed that the four partitioning methods were only valid when at least 68.3% of the diferent fne root parameter values fell within the partitioned root diameter

Table 1. Methods of diameter interval division to represent morphological characteristics of root order.

intervals and corresponded to the morphological characteristics of a given root order. When this criterion was adhered to, we judged the diameter interval as capable of approximately expressing the morphological characteristics of the corresponding root order.

We maintained that if the diferent methods satisfed the above condition, it was more convenient to use the method that directly classifed raw statistical data and necessitated fewer transformation steps.

Data analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for ascertaining if the differences between root parameters varying with order and diameter were significant (α = 0.05), and the least significant difference (LSD) test was used to determine whether the efects of the classifcation method based on root order or diameter for each parameter were significant (α = 0.05). All graphs were drawn using SigmaPlot ver. 10.0 and Minitab ver. 16.0, and ANOVA was conducted using SPSS ver. 21.0.

Results and Discussion

Root morphology described by root order and diameter classification. The 2-year-old *P. tabuliformis* seedlings had up to six root orders. As the root order of the *Pinus* seedlings increased, root diameter and

Figure 1. I to VI correspond to root orders 1 to 6; D1 to D5 are fve groups based on root diameter: D1, ≤0.5mm; D2, 0.5–≤1.0mm; D3, 1.0–≤1.5mm; D4, 1.5–≤2mm; D5, >2mm; diferent lowercase letters indicate signifcant diferences among diferent orders at the 0.05% level; diferent capital letters indicate significant differences among different root diameter ranges at the 0.05% level; values are mean \pm SE, n = 18 seedlings.

biomass also increased signifcantly, whereas the other morphological parameters decreased (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). Root length (RL), root area (RA), specifc root length (SRL), and specifc root surface area (SRA) in the frst three root orders were much higher than those in the other orders and accounted for 78.1–94.2% of total RL and 58.4–75.3% of total RA. Similarly, SRL and SRA in the first three root orders were 7-22 times and 1.5–5 times higher, respectively, than that in the other orders.

The diameter and root order classification results were similar: the morphological parameters increased with the increase in root diameter; RL and RA in the 0–1.5 mm diameter class accounted for 93.8% and 84.4% of the respective totals; those in the 1.5–2.0 mm and>2.0 mm categories accounted for 3.7% and 2.6% of total RL and 6.9% and 8.8% of total RA, respectively; both SRL and SRA showed the same pattern: SRL in the<1.5 mm

category was 3–7 times and SRA was 1.5–3 times that in the other ranges. The two classification methods were similar in describing root morphology; for example, both RL and RA decreased as the root order (or diameter) increased and most SRL and SRA values were accounted for by the lower root orders and diameters. Tis correlation showed that the two methods provided similar results, confirming the findings of Chang and Guo³⁰.

Partitioning diameter interval. *Probability distribution function interval*. The diameter interval partitioning results obtained by the probability distribution function interval method are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) Across all first-order roots, as judged by diameter, the 68.3% probability corresponded to the 0.350–0.469-mm diameter interval; for second-order roots, 68.3% corresponded to the 0.521–0.732-mm diameter interval. The diameter ranges for these two root orders overlapped within the 0.469-0.521-mm interval. The arithmetic mean of the upper and lower limits of the diameter range, 0.495 mm, was set as the partitioning threshold for separating first-order and second-order roots. According to this method, the threshold intervals of the second-third-fourth-fifth order roots were 0.777 mm, 1.069 mm, and 1.506 mm.

Figure 3. Solid lines in different colours represent probability distribution functions constituted by diameters corresponding to the 1st–5th orders of roots, respectively; intersection points of probability function distribution curves of diameter for two adjacent root orders are simply diameter interval threshold values.

Table 2. Distribution of probability function of root diameter of *Pinus* seedlings at 68.3% distribution interval afer Johnson function transformation. Y15.85%−Y84.15% denotes a threshold value of the 68.3% distribution interval of distribution probability function of the diameter of *Pinus tabuliformis* seedlings afer Johnson function transformation, and X15.85%−X84.15% denotes the threshold value inverted from the threshold value of $Y_{15,85\%}-Y_{84.15\%}$ distribution interval using Johnson function transformation formula corresponding to 68.3% distribution interval of distribution probability function. The same below.

Probability distribution function intersection. With the diameters corresponding to the intersection points of the probability distribution functions for the fve orders of roots (Fig. 3) deemed as the partitioning threshold values of the adjacent next-order roots, the diameter threshold values of the frst to ffh orders were 0.478 mm, 0.732mm, 1.062mm, 1.453mm, and>1.453mm, respectively.

Quartile averaging. The threshold values obtained from partitioning by quartile averaging were 0.486 mm, 0.768 mm, 1.088 mm, and 1.484 mm, and the percentages of the frst to ffh orders within the diameter ranges partitioned according to the above threshold values were 87.9% for first-order roots with diameters ≤0.486 mm, 68.6% for second-order roots in the 0.486–0.768-mm group, 67.2% for third-order roots in the 0.768– 1.088-mm group, 67.5% for fourth-order roots in the 1.088–1.484-mm group, and 77.5% for ffh-order roots in the $>$ 1.484-mm group.

Normal transformation. Analysis of the data transformed with the Johnson function (Table [2\)](#page-5-1) showed that diameters of frst-order roots varied between 0.169 mm and 0.545 mm and those of second-order roots varied between 0.382mm and 1.357mm, thus showing considerable overlap. However, 68.3% of frst-order roots were within the 0.344–0.474-mm diameter range and 68.3% of second-order roots were within the 0.484–0.753-mm diameter range. With the 68.3% probability distribution of root diameter within a certain root order as a partitioning criterion, the third, fourth, and ffh orders were distributed in the 0.763–1.084-mm, 1.084–1.486-mm, and 1.489–1.858-mm groups, respectively; thus, the main distributions of the diameters of the various root orders did not overlap, indicating that 0.474 mm, 0.753 mm, 1.084 mm, 1.486 mm, and 1.858 mm as threshold values resulted in a one-to-one correlation between diameter intervals and root order; in other words, the≤0.474mm, 0.474–0.753-mm, 0.753–1.084-mm, 1.084–1.486-mm, and 1.486–1.858-mm root diameter groups corresponded to first, second, third, fourth, and fifth root orders, respectively. Thus, root diameter interval partitioning contained the largest proportion of root orders.

Table 3. Percentages of intervals, as partitioned by four methods, corresponding to each root order of seedlings of *Pinus tabuliformis*.

Comparison of the four methods. The different methods resulted in different diameter intervals for partitioning roots into classes. Te diameter interval division percentages of the four classifcation methods were calculated and are shown in Table 3.

First, based on the proposed evaluation methods, only 67.1% and 63.1% of the second and third orders, respectively, were partitioned by the probability distribution function interval method, thus failing to satisfy the 68.3% criterion. Second, when the probability distribution crossover method was used to divide roots, the percentage of roots contained in the root diameter interval corresponding to second-order roots was only 61.5%. In addition, for fourth-order roots, 46.2% fell in the 0.732-1.062-mm group, 17.9% in the 1.062-1.453-mm group, and 35.9% in the>1.453-mm group, showing considerable overlap with ffh-order roots (100% in the>1.453-mm group), such that they were indistinguishable. Furthermore, the partitioning of fourth-order roots failed to satisfy the 68.3% criterion. Tird, the diameter intervals obtained by the quartile averaging method were the closest to those obtained by the normal transformation method, although only 67.2% of third-order roots and 67.5% of fourth-order were accounted for by the quartile averaging method. Tus, this method also failed to meet the 68.3% criterion; however, the partitioning process was simpler and required no data conversion, with simple classification of the original data being sufficient. method
 https://ir.iskc. 1.33 16.4 68.3 19.8
 https://if.iskc. 16.8
 co.486 6 57.9 17.7 0.5
 Co.486 6 6 16.4

method
 http://if.iskce.o.768 12.1 68.6 16.4
 http://if.iskce.o.768 12.1 68.6 16.4
 http://if.iskce

Finally, all categories proposed by the normal transformation method satisfied the 68.3% criterion. The most suitable method was determined to be that in which the categories of roots grouped by diameter showed the closest correspondence with root properties other than diameter. The normal transformation method proved superior to the other three in that the diameter intervals corresponded most closely (at least 68.3%) to the morphological characteristics.

Reasonableness of diameter interval method to describe root morphological characteris- tics. To verify that categorizing roots based on diameter rather than order resulted in a close relationship with other morphological characteristics, the normal transformation method was used to obtain diameter intervals (0–0.474mm, 0.763–1.084 mm, and 1.486–1.858 mm classes). Tree characteristics of *P*. *tabuliformis* root morphology, namely, number of root tips, length, and area, in diferent root orders were re-analysed and compared to the values of the same three indicators in the three categories of roots conventionally grouped by diameter (0–0.5 mm, 0.5–1.0 mm, 1.0–1.5 mm, and 2.0 mm) in terms of percentages of roots falling into each category with reference to each parameter (Fig. [4a,c and e\)](#page-7-0). Conventional grouping failed to reflect the variations due to root order, which was especially evident in the first two groups (0.5-1.0mm and 1.0-1.5mm). The 0.5-1.0-mm group contained 64.8% of root tips, 60.5% of root length (RL), and 64.4% of root surface area (RA) of third-order roots; whereas, the 1.0–1.5-mm group contained 34.7%, 38.9%, and 35.3%, respectively, pointing to fragmental partitioning of third-order roots and a failure to refect the morphologies of the corresponding root-order classes. The 0.5–1.0-mm group contained 77.0% of root tips of second-order roots and 64.8% of root tips of third-order roots, respectively. The same trend was also found for the other indicators, i.e., root length and area (Fig. 4c and [e](#page-7-0)), suggesting that the overlapping distribution failed to distinguish between second- and third-order roots based on morphological features.

Figure 4. Diameter interval distribution of number of root tips of each root order by (**a**) conventional diameter classifcation method and (**b**) diameter interval method. Diameter interval distribution of number of root length of each root order by (**c**) conventional diameter classifcation method and (**d**) diameter interval method. Diameter interval distribution of number of root area of each root order by (**e**) conventional diameter

In terms of describing root morphology of different roots based on diameter rather than order (Fig. 4b,d and f), the 0–0.474-mm group mainly represented the variation status of first-order roots because the group contained 83.20% of root tips of frst-order roots, 89.3% of RL, and 85.7% of RA, whereas the other root orders showed much smaller percentages (below 13.6%) in this group. The 0.474-0.753-mm, 0.753-1.084-mm, 1.084-1.486-mm, and >1.486-mm groups represented 89.3–68.3% of the variation of the second to fifth order roots, respectively.

Linking root morphological characteristics to diameter classes instead of root orders also reduced the diferences in the results obtained by these two methods. For example, the total root surface area in the 0.5–1.0-mm group was 31.609 cm², whereas the root surface area of the second-order roots was 17.712 cm², with the diference of 13.897 cm² accounting for 78.5% of the total RA of second-order roots. The total root surface area in the 0.474–0.753-mm group was 19.388 cm², difering by 1.676cm² from the total RA of the second-order roots, which accounted for 9.0% of the total RA of the second-order roots. Tis close relationship suggests that the new partitioning method strongly refected root order when describing root morphology; in other words, diameter and root order gave fairly similar results, reducing the diferences in which the two methods refect root morphology, with the former method being more capable of refecting the facets of root development.

Suitability of the diameter interval method to describe root morphological characteris-

tics. The roots of *P*. *bungeana* Zucc. were selected to test whether the diameter interval method was suitable for describing root morphologies. The roots of *P. tabuliformis* under a nitrogen enriched environment (simulation of environment changed root morphology) were also used to verify the proposed method.

To ascertain whether the diameter interval method can work with other plants, we collected data on root morphology of seedlings of the biennial *P. bungeana* using the normal transformation method (Table [4\)](#page-8-0). The

Table 4. Johnson Distribution of probability function of root diameter of *Pinus bungeana Zucc* seedlings and *P*. *tabuliformis* grown in nitrogen-enriched soil seedlings at 68.3% distribution interval afer Johnson function

seedlings were grouped by diameter as follows:≤0.128mm, 0.128–0.282mm, 0.282–0.610mm, 0.610–1.352mm, and≥1.352mm. Tese root diameter groups contained 85.7%, 68.3%, 68.4%, 70.7%, and 100% of the number of root tips of the frst-, second-, third-, fourth- and ffh-order roots, respectively, satisfying the 68.3–95.0–99.7 rule (Fig. 5). Pregitzer *et al*. 10 pointed out that the diameter of fne roots as a criterion for tree species needs to be made less stringent, and individual diferences in species must be given due attention.

Root diameter is also infuenced by many environmental factors, including nutrients, water, and temperature23,35. In the present study, data on root morphology were also obtained from seedlings of *P*. *tabuliformis* growing in nitrogen-enriched soil. Te roots were grouped using conventional grouping, namely <0.5 mm, 0.5–1.0 mm, 1.0–1.5 mm, and 1.5–2.0 mm. The first group accounted for all first-order roots, as well as 97.2% of second-order roots and 89.1% of third-order roots, thus failing to show a one-to-one correspondence between the two grouping methods (i.e., diameter and order). Next, normal transformation was used to repartition the root diameters into 0.214 mm, 0.214–0.322 mm, 0.322–0.473 mm, 0.473–0.997 mm, and>0.997 mm (Table [4](#page-8-0)). Tese root diameter groups contained 84.7%, 68.3%, 68.4%, 70.7%, and 84.4% of the number of root tips of the frst-, second-, third-, fourth- and ffh-order roots, respectively, satisfying the 68.3–95.0–99.7 rule (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-7) and indicating that, in the context of environmental infuence (e.g., adding nitrogen) on fne root diameter, diameter groups can refect root order morphology. Table 4. Johnson Distribution of [p](#page-10-20)robability function of root diame P . The the stransformation.
 https://ir.isrc.ac.com in introgen-enriched soil seedlings at 68.3% distribution into transformation.
 comparison in i

Chang and Guo studied the relationship between root order and diameter in 45 common tree species in temperate, subtropical, and tropical China30; Xu *et al*. studied the morphology of the frst fve orders of fne roots in four tropical broad-leaved tree species in Hainan Island12; and Liu *et al*. studied frst-order roots of species used for aforestation36, such as *Juglans mandshurica* Maxim., *Phellodendron amurense* Rupr., and *Fraxinus mandschurica* Rupr. Tese studies all indicated that root diameter varies greatly between tree species, even when roots of the same order are compared and, given such variation in diferent ecosystems, it is impossible to relate root function to root diameter. In other words, root orders of tree species cannot be linked to root categories based on root diameter (e.g., conventional grouping of roots at diameter intervals of 0.5mm). Tis lack of relationship implies that root orders of diferent plants might correspond to diferent diameter intervals. Root diameter can be a proxy for root order only if root diameter classes corresponding to root orders of a tree species conform to normal distribution (with or without Johnson function transformation).

Conclusions

Advantages and disadvantages of diameter interval method. Using root diameter as a proxy for root order makes sorting a relatively simple process and avoids the need to assign each root to a specifc root order. The diameter method provides a new approach for promoting the root order method. However, root morphology varies greatly with species and, for practical application, the relationship between root order and diameter needs to be established separately for individual species. However, such a relationship model may provide a theoretical basis for predicting root characteristics more accurately.

The differences in the order of fine roots and their diameter classes are the key to more accurate determination of the lifespan of fne root[s27.](#page-10-12) Wells *et al*. studied the lifespan of fne roots in a *Prunus persica* forest and found that first-order roots grouped by diameter into <0.25 mm, 0.25–0.5 mm, and >0.5 mm categories had lifespans of 74, 121, and 213 d, respectively³. The estimated lifespans varied 3-fold, despite the roots being in the same category. Furthermore, root diameter afected the lifespan of fne roots signifcantly. Root orders refect the internal heterogeneity of fne roots and can improve our understanding of root function and the accuracy with which other root parameters, such as turnover rates, can be predicted^{14,16}. The proposed method for estimating the lifespan of fine

Figure 5. Diameter interval distribution of number of root tips of each root order of *Pinus bungeana Zucc* seedlings by (**a**) conventional diameter classifcation method and (**b**) diameter interval method. Diameter interval distribution of number of root tips of each root order of *Pinus tabuliformis* grown in nitrogen-enriched

roots is simple, and depends on grouping fine roots by diameter. The two methods of grouping roots, namely by diameter and order, can minimize errors in estimating root lifespan when used together.

Improved method of root sampling. During field sampling, roots are usually damaged or lost to some extent. In the present study, incomplete collection made it difficult to define root order, thereby limiting the application of the root order method in studying fne roots. For example, root loss and failure to distinguish root order in feld samples accounted for 3% of overall root weight in a previous study on *P*. *tabuliformis* root morphology influenced by application of nitrogen in the Loess Plateau²³. It is, therefore, important to estimate the extent of such losses based on statistical analysis of complete or intact roots. Roots can then be grouped by diameter using the proposed method to complete root order data and obtain reliable estimates of the lifespans and turnover rates of fne roots.

References

- 1. Berhongaray, G., King, J. S. & Janssens, I. A. *et al*. An optimized fne root sampling methodology balancing accuracy and time investment. *Plant and Soil.* **366**, 351–361 (2013).
- 2. Tomas, S. M., Whitehead, D. & Adams, J. A. Seasonal root distribution and soil surface carbon fuxes for one year old Pinus radiate trees growing at ambient and elevated carbon dioxide concentration. *Tree Physiology.* **16**, 1015–1021 (1996).
- 3. Wells, C. E., Glenn, D. M. & Eissenstat, D. M. Changes in the risk of fne-root mortality with age: a case study in peach, *Prunus persica*(Rosaceae). *American Journal of Botany.* **89**, 79–87 (2002).
- 4. Wen, D. Z., Wei, P., Kong, G. H. & Ye, W. H. Production and turnover rate of fne roots in two lower subtropical forest sites at Dinghushan. *Acta Phytoecologica Sinica* **23**, 361–369 (1999).
- 5. Baddeley, J. A. & Watson, C. A. Infuences of root diameter, tree age, soil depth and season on fne root survivorship in *Prunus avium*. *Plant Soil.* **276**, 15–22 (2005).
- 6. Mei, L. *et al*. Distribution patterns of *Fraxinus mandshurica* root biomass, specifc root length and root length density. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology.* **17**, 1–4 (2006).
- 7. Wu, Y. B. *et al*. Estimation of root production and turnover in an alpine meadow: comparison of three measurement methods. *Acta Ecologica Sinica.* **4**, 3529–3537 (2014).
- 8. Cheng, Y. H., Han, Y. Z., Wang, Q. C. & Wang, Z. Q. Seasonal dynamics of fne root biomass, root length density, specifc root length and soil resource availability in a *Larix gmelini* plantation. *Acta Phytoecologica Sinica.* **29**, 430–410 (2006).
- 9. Wang, G. L. & Zhou, D. Fine root characteristic changes of pioneer community with plant succession in abandoned croplands in the Loess Gully Region, China. *Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica.* **29**, 0356–0364 (2009).
- 10. Pregitzer, K. S. *et al*. Fine root architecture of nine north American trees. *Ecologcial Monographs.* **72**, 393–309 (2002).
- 11. Wang, X. R. *et al*. Variations of fine root diameter with root order in Manchurian ash and Dahurian larch plantations. *Acta Phytoecologica Sinica.* **29**, 871–877 (2005).
- 12. Xu, Y., Gu, J. C., Dong, X. Y., Liu, Y. & Wang, Z. Q. Fine root morphology, anatomy and tissue nitrogen and carbon contents of the frst fve orders in four tropical hardwood species in Hainan Island, China. *Acta Phytoecologica Sinica.* **35**, 955–964 (2011).
- 13. Xia, M. X., Guo, D. L. & Pregitzer, K. S. Ephemeral root modules in *Fraxinus mandshurica*. *New Phytologist.* **188**, 1065–1074 (2010). 14. Guo, D. L. *et al*. Fine root heterogeneity by branch order: exploring the discrepancy in root turnover estimates between minirhizotron and carbon isotopic methods. *New Phytologist.* **177**, 443–456 (2008).
- 15. Wang, G. L., Xue, S., Liu, F. & Liu, G. B. Nitrogen addition increases the production and turnover of the lower-order roots but not of the higher-order roots of *Bothriochloa ischaemum*. *Plant Soil.* **415**, 423 (2017).
- 16. Mccormack, M. L. *et al*. Redefning fne roots improves understanding of below‐ground contributions to terrestrial biosphere processes. *New Phytologist.* **207**, 505–518 (2015).
- 17. Jia, X. X. *et al*. Relationship among fne-root morphology, anatomy, tissue nitrogen concentration and respiration in diferent branch root orders in *Larix gmelinf* and *Fraxinus mandshurica*. *Chinese Bulletin of Botany* **45**, 74–181 (2010).
- 18. Wei, P. *et al*. Fine Root Biomass and Morphology of *Alnus formosana* in Silvopasture Systems. *Scientia Silvae Sinicae.* **50**, 157–163 (2014)
- 19. Eissenstat, D. M., Kucharski, J. M., Zadworny, M., Tomas, S. A. & Roger, T. K. Linking root traits to nutrient foraging in arbuscular mycorrhizal trees in a temperate forest. *New Phytologist.* **208**, 114–124 (2015).
- 20. Liu, Y. *et al*. Growth, Morphological, and Physiological Responses to Drought Stress in Bothriochloa ischaemum. *Frontiers in Plant Science.* **8**, 230 (2017).
- 21. Burton, A. J., Pregitzer, K. S. & Hendrick, R. L. Relationships between fne root dynamics and nitrogen availability in Michigan northern hardwood forests. *Oecologia.* **125**, 389–399 (2000).
- 22. Yu, L. Z. *et al*. Efects of fertilization on fne root diameter, root length and specifc root length in Larix kaem pferi plantation. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology.* **18**, 957–962 (2007).
- 23. Wang, G. L., Timothy, J., Xue, S. & Liu, F. Root morphology and architecture respond to N addition in *Pinus tabuliformis*, west China. *Oecologia.* **171**, 583–590 (2013).
- 24. Wei, X., Liu, Y. & Chen, H. B. Anatomical and functional heterogeneity among diferent root orders of *Phellodendron amurense*. *Journal of Plant Ecology (Chinese Version).* **32**, 1238–1247 (2008).
- 25. Qu, Q. L. Efect of N input on above-belowground morphological and physiological characteristics of *Bothriochloa ischaemun*. *Northwest A & F University* (2012)
- 26. Qiu, J., Gu, J. C., Jiang, H. Y. & Wang, Z. Q. Factors infuencing fne root longevity of plantation-grown *Pinus sylvestris var*.*mongolica*. *Chinese journal of plant ecology.* **34**, 1066–1074 (2010).
- 27. Eissenstat, D. M. & Yanni, R. D. Root life span, efficiency, and turnove. Plant roots: the hidden half. Marcel Dekker. *New York*. (2002).
- 28. Pregitzer, K. S., Kubiske, M. E., Yu, C. K. & Hendrick, R. L. Relationships among root branch order,carbon,and nitrogen in four temperate species. *Oecologia.* **111**, 302–308 (1997).
- 29. Guo, D. L., Mitchell, R. J. & Hendricks, J. J. Fine root branch orders respond diferentially to carbon source-sink manipulations in a longleaf pine forest. *Oecologia.* **140**, 450–457 (2004).
- 30. Chang, W. J. & Guo, D. L. Variation in root diameter among 45 common tree species in temperate, subtropical and tropical forests in China. *Journal of Plant Ecology.* **32**, 1248–1257 (2008).
- 31. Luo, C. L. Te Properties and Application of Normal Distribution. *Intelligence.* **17**, 64–66 (2010).
- 32. Petunin Y. Justifcation of the three-sigma rule for unimodal distributions. *Teory of Probability & Mathematical Statistics* (1980).
- 33. Pukelsheim, F. Te Tree Sigma Rule. *American Statistician.* **48**, 88–91 (1994).
- 34. FAO-UNESCO (1977). Soil Map of theWorld: 1:5 000 000. Paris: UNESCO.
- 35. Jaana, L. K., Maija, S., Dan, B. K. & Helmisaari, H. S. Fine root turnover and litter production of Norway spruce in a long-term temperature and nutrient manipulation experiment. *Plant Soil* **374**, 73–88 (2014).
- 36. Liu, Y., Gu, J. C., Wei, X., Xu, Y. & Wang, Z. Q. Variations of morphology, anatomical structure and nitrogen content among frstorder roots in diferent positions along branch orders in tree species. *Acta Phytoecologica Sinica.* **34**, 1336–1343 (2010).

Acknowledgements

Tis research was funded by National key research and development program of China (No. 2016YFC0402404), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41471226, No. 41371508 and No. 41330858), and the School foundation of Xi'an University of Technology (No. 310-252071506). Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 18,957-962 (2007),
 Chinese Journal Chinese Space (2007), The Root morphology and architecture responsible and Occurs (Nina, Occubitiese UPS) (Chinese Version), 32, 1288-1327 (2008), C

Author Contributions

The manuscript was reviewed and approved for publication by all authors. G.L.W. conceived and designed the experiments. Y.L. performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the paper, K.X.Y. and L.X. drew the fgures. G.B.L. and P.L. revised the paper.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2018$