

## Response to “Comments on ‘Integral Method for Estimating Soil Hydraulic Properties’ by Hu (2015)”

### Mingan Shao

The State Key Lab of Soil Erosion and  
Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau  
Northwest Agriculture and Forestry  
University of Sci-Technology  
26 Xinong Road  
Yangling, Shaanxi 712100  
China

### Robert Horton

Department of Agronomy  
Iowa State University  
Ames, IA 50011

We thank Hu (2015) who provided an interesting comment on Shao and Horton (1998). He really made a great effort in commenting on our paper by reading many related publications and deriving quite a few equations. We appreciate his statement that our integral method has been widely used, because the method has the advantages of simplicity and uniqueness for estimating van Genuchten (1980) hydraulic property parameters.

Earlier, Xu (1999) commented on our integral method. However, the Xu (1999) derivation was not perfect, as stated by Hu (2015). The comments by Hu (2015) are close to being perfect, but he makes a small change in the expression of the original Eq. [13] and [14]. This change results in small, but very important, changes in the final expressions of the van Genuchten parameters  $\alpha$  and  $n$ .

The original expressions considered by Shao and Horton (1998) are as follows:

$$\theta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \theta_s - (\theta_s - \theta_i) \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^n & 0 < \lambda < d \\ \theta_i & d \leq \lambda < \infty \end{cases}$$

Hu (2015) changed the open interval to be a closed interval for the first equation of the piecewise function. He changed the lower limit from a closed one to an open one for the second equation of the function. The  $b(\lambda)$  expressions were given similar changes, too. The changes in the limits produce changes in the final expressions of  $\alpha$  and  $n$ . Unfortunately, the expressions of  $\alpha$  and  $n$  provided by Hu (2015) are practically useless. Both expressions contain the term  $K(b_i)$ . Because the numerical value of  $K(b_i)$  is unknown, the numerical values for the Hu (2015)  $\alpha$  and  $n$  are also unknown. Thankfully, the original expressions of  $\alpha$  and  $n$  presented by Shao and Horton (1998) are valid, and the numerical values of  $\alpha$  and  $n$  can be determined from horizontal infiltration measurements.

The relationship between  $dh/d\lambda$  and Boltzmann variable  $\lambda$  should express as:

$$\frac{dh}{d\lambda} = \begin{cases} -b_1 & 0 < \lambda < d \\ 0 & d \leq \lambda < \infty \end{cases}$$

There is a printing error in Hu (2015) in the first expression of the interval. It is  $d$  instead of  $\lambda$ . Therefore, according to his derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[ K(b) \frac{db}{d\lambda} \right] d\lambda &= \int_0^d \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[ K(b) \frac{db}{d\lambda} \right] d\lambda + \int_d^\infty \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[ K(b) \frac{db}{d\lambda} \right] d\lambda \\ &= \left[ K(b) \frac{db}{d\lambda} \right]_0^d + \left[ K(b) \frac{db}{d\lambda} \right]_d^\infty \end{aligned}$$

Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 79:970–971  
doi:10.2136/sssaj2014.10.0430r  
Received 15 Feb. 2015  
Received 30 Oct. 2014

\*Corresponding author (rhorton@iastate.edu).

© Soil Science Society of America, 5585 Guilford Rd., Madison WI 53711 USA

All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Permission for printing and for reprinting the material contained herein has been obtained by the publisher.

The second term of the above right hand side is 0, because  $db/d\lambda = 0$  in the interval of  $(d, \infty)$ . The first term is  $b_1 K_s$  rather than  $(-b_1[K(b_i) - K_s])$ , because the value of  $db/d\lambda$  at  $d$  is zero instead of  $(-b_1)$ , if the closed lower limit is used rather than the open limit of  $d$ . By the way, his second term of the integral should be “+” instead of “-”. We believe it is a typo.

We had hoped that our response, Shao and Horton (1999) to Xu (1999), made clear that the original expressions of  $\alpha$  and  $n$  were correct in terms of a first order approximation of the  $b(\lambda)$  relationship. Hopefully, our present response helps to remove any remaining confusion. The original expressions of  $\alpha$  and  $n$  presented in Shao and Horton (1998) are correct and useful. Thus, we encourage the soil physics community to employ this simple integral method for estimating soil hydraulic property parameters.

## REFERENCES

- Hu, S.J. 2015. Comments on “Integral Method for Estimating Soil Hydraulic Properties”. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 79:468–469.
- Shao, M., and R. Horton. 1998. Integral method for estimating soil hydraulic properties. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 62:585–592. doi:10.2136/sssaj1998.03615995006200030005x
- Shao, M., and R. Horton. 1999. Reply to “Comments on ‘Integral Method of Estimating Soil Hydraulic Properties’”. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 62:253–253. doi:10.2136/sssaj1999.03615995006300010038x
- van Genuchten, M.Th. 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 44:892–898. doi:10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400050002x
- Xu, S. 1999. Comments on “Integral Method for Estimating Soil Hydraulic Properties”. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 63:253–253. doi:10.2136/sssaj1999.03615995006300010037x