Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01671987)



Soil & Tillage Research



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/still](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/still)

# Dynamics of water-stable aggregates associated organic carbon assessed from delta C-13 changes following temperate natural forest development in China



Gu[a](#page-0-0)ngyu Zhu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhouping Shangguan<sup>[b](#page-0-2)[,c](#page-0-3)</sup>, Lei Deng<sup>b,[c](#page-0-3),[\\*\\*](#page-0-4)</sup>

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of the Three Gorges Reservoir Region's Eco-Environment, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 400045, PR China <sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, 712100, PR China <sup>c</sup> Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Water Resources, Yangling, Shaanxi, 712100, PR China

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: C decomposition C isotopes C sequestration New and old C Soil aggregate size classes The Loess Plateau

#### ABSTRACT

In the context of global climate change, the preservation of soil productivity and the estimation of carbon budgets and cycles, the quantification of changes in carbon has important significance. In this study, we investigated the dynamics of soil aggregate associated organic carbon (OC) following temperate natural forest development in China. The objectives of this study were to examine the variation of soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rates, quantify the changes in the proportion of new and old soil aggregate OC, and explore the effects of controlling factors on SOC stocks, rate of total SOC increase and decomposition rate constants. The results showed that soil aggregate associated OC sequestration increased in 0−10 cm soil depth, while decreased in 10−30 cm soil depth. However, rate of aggregate associated OC increase, decomposition rate constants, and proportion of new OC increased at the early stage and then decreased along with the natural vegetation restoration. In addition, land use change had an important effect on soil aggregate associated OC dynamics, and soil particles, BD, MWD, C: N, plant diversity also played an important role. Moreover, SOC stocks had a negative relationship with clay and silt, while had a positive relationship with MWD and sandy soils. decomposition rate constants had a negative relationship with plant diversity, silt, and sand, while had a positive relationship with C: N and MWD. The proportions of new SOC had significant positive relationships with C: N, and it had a negative relationship with clay and silt. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the formation mechanism of soil particles and aggregates, improve plant biodiversity, regulate the soil C: N ratio, and improve soil particle structure to increase soil carbon sequestration.

# 1. Introduction

In the context of global climate change and for the preservation of soil productivity the quantification of changes in carbon has important significance [\(Herbst et al., 2018](#page-9-0)). Conversely, land use change and climate conditions also had great effects on soil carbon pool, decomposition rate, soil aggregate stability [\(Deng et al., 2016](#page-9-1); [Haghighi et al.,](#page-9-2) [2010\)](#page-9-2), and their variation determines whether this is a carbon source or a sink. Duo to the land use change is the main driving force of global environment change, the research of soil carbon dynamics, including fixed carbon and decomposed carbon in water-stable aggregates have become an important scientific debate.

Land use strongly affects soil surface microbes, soil aggregate and soil carbon changes ([van Leeuwen et al., 2017v](#page-10-0); [Zhu et al., 2018](#page-10-1)).

Microbes on the outer surface of aggregates can connect neighboring aggregates through access carbon substrates [\(Blankinship et al., 2016](#page-9-3)). Soil aggregation affects SOC decomposition by creating complex soil structure and limiting accessibility of soil microbes to SOC ([Liang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2019\)](#page-9-4), moreover, carbon outputs also determined by decomposition rates and turnover, influenced by climate, soil texture and plant attributes (e.g., tissue quality) ([Eclesia et al., 2016\)](#page-9-5). The balance of plant input and rate of SOC loss have controlled the soil carbon dynamics ([Zhang et al., 2015\)](#page-10-2). Generally, compared with the transformation from natural vegetation to cultivated vegetation, converting cropland into perennial vegetation had spent greater periods of time to lock up SOC due to the decrease of turnover rate related to natural vegetation [\(Deng](#page-9-6) [et al., 2013](#page-9-6)). Thus, understanding the change in new soil aggregate associated SOC caused by new vegetation after land use change, and old

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104782>

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>⁎</sup> Corresponding author at: No. 83 Shabei Street, Shapingba, Chongqing, 400045, PR China.

<span id="page-0-4"></span><sup>⁎⁎</sup> Corresponding author at: No. 26, Xinong Road, Yangling, Shaanxi, 712100, PR China.

E-mail addresses: [zhu.guangyu@cqu.edu.cn](mailto:zhu.guangyu@cqu.edu.cn) (G. Zhu), [leideng@ms.iswc.ac.cn](mailto:leideng@ms.iswc.ac.cn) (L. Deng).

Received 30 May 2020; Received in revised form 17 July 2020; Accepted 10 August 2020 Available online 26 August 2020 0167-1987/ © 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.

soil aggregate associated SOC (i.e. initial soil aggregate associated SOC previous to conversation) could described more information about the dynamic responses of SOC in water-stable aggregates to land use change.

The stable carbon isotope technique can be used to quantify the SOC dynamics ([Mendez-Millan et al., 2014](#page-10-3)). Conversion of vegetation regulates  $13C$  in SOC because of the different isotopic signature in assimilated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as a result of photosynthetic <sup>13</sup>C discrimination [\(Wang](#page-10-4) [et al., 2015\)](#page-10-4). Thus, the 13C value in SOC provides a means to explore the mechanisms of new and old SOC changes after land use changes ([Guillaume et al., 2015](#page-9-7); [Zhang et al., 2015](#page-10-2)). Because laboratory decomposition experiments are usually combined with nutrient addition, drought, and warming, the difference in setting gradients and other experimental conditions will make the study incomparable. In-situ estimation of SOM decomposition rate makes the data obtained in various individual studies and from different regions comparable [\(Marin-](#page-10-5)[Spiotta et al., 2009](#page-10-5); [Zhang et al., 2015\)](#page-10-2). However, few researches using the stable carbon isotope technique to describe soil aggregate associated new and old SOC dynamics along a long-term vegetation restoration Chrono sequence.

Soil physic and chemical properties, land control measures, tree species planted, vegetation types and environmental factors determine the change degree of SOC stocks and the timing of the switch between increase and decrease of stocks ([Arai and Tokuchi, 2010;](#page-9-8) [Don et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011\)](#page-9-9). In addition, it is also affected by the following factors, such as interactions between geochemistry and climate (i.e., precipitation and temperature) ([Doetterl et al., 2015](#page-9-10)), previous land use type ([England](#page-9-11) [et al., 2016;](#page-9-11) [van Straaten et al., 2015v](#page-10-6)), erosion processes [\(Croft et al.,](#page-9-12) [2012\)](#page-9-12), and whether the study design is based on a chrono sequence or time series [\(Fujisaki et al., 2015](#page-9-13)). However, different criteria of the research blocks, such as study design and scale, stock calculation method, and land management measures, which caused the considerable uncertainties in the SOC stock dynamics ([Grinand et al., 2017\)](#page-9-14). For example, for forest converted into cultivated vegetation, [Guo and](#page-9-15) Giff[ord \(2002b\)](#page-9-15); [Don et al. \(2011\);](#page-9-9) [Powers et al. \(2011\)](#page-10-7) and [Fujisaki](#page-9-13) [et al. \(2015\)](#page-9-13) given the decrease in SOC stocks ranges from 42 %, 25 %, 15.4%–8.5%, respectively. Therefore, choose to appropriately reflect the impact of overall change in soil OC stocks, and explore which factors control SOC stock dynamics is crucial to evaluate SOC change rate and its time-space distribution following land use change.

In China, the "Grain to Green" program considered as one of the most important and effective methods for the improvement of ecosystem services in the Loess Plateau [\(Chen et al., 2015](#page-9-16)). However, the period of these programs is too short to understand the process of ongoing vegetation restoration in Western China. Ziwuling, located in the Loess Plateau, was the only place where forest vegetation has been preserved throughout history. Thus, this area can help understand the carbon sequestration dynamics of ecosystems when converting cropland to natural restoration grassland or forest. This research used stable carbon isotope technique to investigate soil aggregate associated SOC dynamics of natural vegetation after cropland was abandoned. The vegetation had been converted from adjacent farmland for about 10, 100, 130, 150 years previously. The objective of this study were to examine the variation of soil aggregate associated SOC decomposition rates, quantify the changes in the proportion of new and old soil aggregate SOC, and explore the effects of controlling factors on SOC stocks, rate of total SOC increase and decomposition rate constant.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study sites

The study was conducted on the Lianjiabian Forest Farm of Ziwuling forest region of Gansu (35°03′-36°37′N, 108°10′-109°18′E, 1211−1453 m a. s. l.), located in a total area of  $23,000 \text{ km}^2$  in the hinter land of the Loess Plateau. The elevations of the hilly and gully landforms in the

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



Note: S1, Lespedeza bicolor; S2, P. davidiana; S3, P. davidiana, Q. liaotungensis; S4, Q. liaotungensis.

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>Table 2

Summary of features of soil and plant in different successional stages in the study areas.

	S1 (CK)	S2	S <sub>3</sub>	S4
$P\delta^{13}C(9_{00})$	$-24.94 \pm$	$-25.56 \pm$	$-25.53 \pm$	$-25.86 \pm 0.8$
	0.6	0.9	1.2	
pH-a	$7.57 \pm 0.5$	$7.2 \pm 0.3$	$7.2 \pm 0.4$	$7.13 \pm 0.6$
pH-b	$7.9 \pm 0.4$	$7.57 \pm 0.35$	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$7.57 \pm 0.25$
pH-c	$7.67 \pm 0.35$	$7.4 \pm 0.2$	$7.03 \pm 0.35$	$7.37 \pm 0.65$
Clay $(%)$ -a	$13.23 \pm 0.91$	$9.85 \pm 0.07$	$9.6 \pm 0.28$	$9.21 \pm 0.17$
Clay $(%)$ -b	$14.32 \pm 0.26$	$12.11 \pm 0.8$	$12.43 \pm 0.34$	$12.91 \pm 0.51$
Clay $(%)-c$	$14.25 \pm 1.16$	$13.31 \pm 0.4$	$13.72 \pm 0.14$	$13.63 \pm 0.24$
BD (g $cm^{-3}$ )-a	$1.26 \pm 0.02$	$0.93 \pm 0.02$	$1.03 \pm 0.03$	$1.03 \pm 0.04$
BD (g $cm^{-3}$ )-b	$1.24 \pm 0.04$	$0.99 \pm 0.02$	$1.04 \pm 0.02$	$1.08 \pm 0.06$
BD (g cm <sup><math>-3</math></sup> )-c	$1.34 \pm 0.03$	$1.11 \pm 0.06$	$1.25 \pm 0.04$	$1.28 \pm 0.06$
Silt (%)-a	$32.88 \pm 2.6$	$27.17 \pm 0.27$	$26.91 \pm 0.14$	$26.86 \pm 0.48$
Silt (%)-b	$33.69 \pm 1.12$	$30.94 \pm 0.64$	$32.83 \pm 0.4$	$33.72 \pm 0.73$
Silt $(\%)$ -c	$33.44 \pm 0.08$	$31.38 \pm 0.46$	$33.18 \pm 0.6$	$35.09 \pm 0.66$
Sand $(\%)$ -a	$53.89 \pm 3.27$	$62.98 \pm 0.33$	$63.49 \pm 0.32$	$63.92 \pm 0.62$
Sand $(%)-b$	$51.99 \pm 1.35$	$56.95 \pm 1.31$	$54.74 \pm 0.12$	$53.38 \pm 1.24$
Sand (%)-c	$52.31 \pm 1.16$	$55.31 \pm 0.84$	$53.1 \pm 0.47$	$51.28 \pm 0.86$
MWD-a	$3.39 \pm 0.05$	$3.08 \pm 0.09$	$3.25 \pm 0.09$	$3.09 \pm 0.13$
MWD-b	$1.52 \pm 0.07$	$3.59 \pm 0.08$	$3.56 \pm 0.18$	$3.6 \pm 0.08$
MWD-c	$0.86 \pm 0.04$	$3.13 \pm 0.15$	$3.34 \pm 0.2$	$3.07 \pm 0.2$
TN-a	$1.22 \pm 0.04$	$2.88 \pm 0.32$	$3.17 \pm 0.22$	$4.29 \pm 0.92$
TN-b	$0.62 \pm 0.03$	$1.62 \pm 0.17$	$0.99 \pm 0.13$	$1.64 \pm 0.11$
TN-c	$0.51 \pm 0.04$	$1.19 \pm 0.1$	$0.61 \pm 0.21$	$0.88 \pm 0.13$
$C: N-a$	$8.5 \pm 0.21$	$12.25 \pm 0.07$	$11.23 \pm 1.21$	$11.06 \pm 0.38$
$C: N-b$	$10.79 \pm 0.31$	$10.24 \pm 1.11$	$9.47 \pm 1.05$	$9.55 \pm 1$
C: N c	$7.97 \pm 0.36$	$10.35 \pm 2.35$	$9.19 \pm 1.26$	$8.03 \pm 1.92$
PN $(g \ kg^{-1})$ -a	$1.5 \pm 0.48$	$1.44 \pm 0.27$	$1.54 \pm 0.22$	$1.33 \pm 0.29$
PN $(g \ kg^{-1})$ -b	$0.66 \pm 0.00$	$0.91 \pm 0.12$	$0.96 \pm 0.13$	$0.96 \pm 0.07$
PN $(g \ kg^{-1})$ -c	$1.48 \pm 0.00$	$0.99 \pm 0.09$	$0.89 \pm 0.17$	$0.8 \pm 0.11$
PC $(g \ kg^{-1})$ -a	337.97 ±	314.34 $\pm$	$154.43 \pm$	379.54 $\pm$
	34.47	1.72	28.21	43.84
PC $(g \ kg^{-1})$ -b	325.43 $\pm$	367.76 $\pm$	155.54 $\pm$	398.93 $\pm$
	59.78	26.34	32.15	15.79
PC (g $kg^{-1}$ )-c	$351.65 \pm$	359.35 $\pm$	$145.41 \pm$	$386.71 \pm$
	13.09	24.73	10.18	29.52
PC: PN-a	$245.15 \pm$	229.24 $\pm$	$103.26 \pm$	299.58 $\pm$
	93.75	13.72	31.21	99.85
PC: PN-b	490.11 $\pm$	405.36 $\pm$	162.73 $\pm$	416.53 $\pm$
	90.08	24.9	25.52	44.41
PC: PN-c	237.69 $\pm$	$362.43 \pm 9.1$	$165.5 \pm$	487.62 $\pm$
	8.85		23.83	41.78

Note: S1, Lespedeza bicolor; S2, P. davidiana; S3, P. davidiana, Q. liaotungensis; S4, Q. liaotungensis; P $\delta^{13}$ C, plant  $\delta^{13}$ C; BD, soil bulk density; PC, plant carbon; PN, plant nitrogen; TN, soil total nitrogen; a, 0−10 cm; b, 10−20 cm; c, 20−30 cm soil depth.

area are 1211–1453 m.a.s.l., and their relative altitude difference is about 200 m. The area has an average annual temperature of 10 °C, an average annual rainfall of 587 mm, the accumulative temperature of 2761 °C, and the annual frost-free period is 112–140 days ([Zhu et al.,](#page-10-8) [2017\)](#page-10-8). Most of the soil in this region is lossial, which is developed from primitive or secondary loess materials. They are evenly distributed on the red earth, with a thickness of 50−130 m, and are composed of calcareous cinnamon soil. In addition, the site is covered by a uniform

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Soil aggregate associated organic carbon (a, b, c and d) and MWD (e and f) for four land use types accompanying vegetation restoration. Note: The values are mean  $\pm$  SE (error bar), n = 3. MWD, mean weight diameter; S1, Lespedeza bicolor grassland; S2, P. Davidiana forest; S3, P. davidiana and Q. liaotungensis mixed forest; S4, Q. liaotungensis forest.

forests with abundant species, and the forest canopy density is between 80 % and 95 % ([Deng et al., 2016](#page-9-1)).

them to the laboratory for air drying at room temperature.

#### 2.2. Field investigation and sampling

Lianjiabian Forest Farm is the only remaining area of complete natural vegetation succession sequence after cultivated land ([Zhang](#page-10-9) [et al., 2016b](#page-10-9)). This region had been observed natural vegetation with different restoration ages, and the basic information about the site are shown in [Table 1.](#page-1-0) Meanwhile, the four plots are S1 (Lespedeza bicolor), S2 (P. davidiana), S3 (P. davidiana, Q. liaotungensis), and S4 (Q. liaotungensis). In addition, summary of features of soil and plant in different successional stages in the study areas are shown in [Table 2,](#page-1-1) and the detail forest information were described in prior studies ([Deng et al.,](#page-9-17) [2014b\)](#page-9-17).

This study randomly set up 20 m  $\times$  20 m plots in each forest community and  $2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m}$  plots in the herbaceous community to take soil samples in these five sites. The distance between two plots in a community does not exceed 50 m, and the distance between two communities in each sample area is less than 1 km. Meanwhile, this study selected consistent site conditions and land use background.

At the four corners and center of each plot in the four restoration stages, soil samples were collected at a depth of 30 cm at an interval of 10 cm with a drill bit. All soil samples of 2 mm sieved by air-dried for total OC and  $\delta^{13}$ C analysis. Soil bulk density is determined by three samples with a diameter of 5 cm and a height 5 cm in each plot. The original volume of each soil core and its dry mass after oven-drying at 105 °C for 48 h were measured. Meanwhile, take 3 undisturbed soil samples in the 0−10, 10−20, 20−30 cm soil layers of each plot for aggregate stability analysis, seal them in a lunch boxes and transport

#### 2.3. Sample analysis

Soil aggregates were separated by the method of first dry sieve and then wet sieve, and finally the aggregates with 6 particle sizes of  $> 5$ mm, 2–5 mm, 1–2 mm, 0.5–1 mm, 0.25–0.5 mm and < 0.25 mm were obtained [\(Zhu et al., 2017](#page-10-8)). All fractions were dried at 70 °C prior to weighing. The data were analyzed to compute mean weight diameter (MWD) [\(Youker and McGuinness, 1957\)](#page-10-10). A laser particle analyzer that operates over a range of 0.02–2000 μm (Mastersizer 2000 particle size analyzer, Malvern Instruments, Ltd., UK) and based on the laser diffraction technique was used to measure particle size. Soil pH was determined using the method of acidity agent (soil-water ration of 1:5) (PHS-3C pH acidometer, China). Soil organic carbon (SOC) content was determined by the  $K_2Cr_2O_7-H_2SO_4$  oxidation method ([Nelson and](#page-10-11) [Sommers, 1996](#page-10-11)). Soil total nitrogen (TN) content was assayed using the Kjeldahl method ([Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982](#page-9-18)). [Fig. 1](#page-2-0) showed the distribution of SOC in water stable aggregate.

Except  $\delta^{13}$ C (in ‰ of Vienna PDB) analysis of 0.05 mm soil samples, the rest of all were at 2 mm. The natural abundance of  $\delta^{13}C$  was analyzed with an Elemental Analyzer coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the Huake Jingxin Stable Isotope Laboratory. Two acetanilide standards were measured every 12 samples. Variations in the  $\rm ^{13}C/^{12}C$  ratios are reported relative to the Vienna PDB standard. The formula is expressed as:

$$
\delta\left(\%_{o}\right) = \left(R_{sample}R_{standard-1}\right) \times 100\tag{1}
$$

Where R is the molar ratio of  $^{13}$ C to  $^{12}$ C of the sample or the international PDB reference, respectively. The  $\delta^{13}C$  content data are show in

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Restoration** stage

Fig. 2. Soil aggregate associated 13C for four land use types accompanying vegetation restoration. Note: The values are mean  $\pm$  SE (error bar), n = 3. S1, Lespedeza bicolor grassland; S2, P. Davidiana forest; S3, P. davidiana and Q. liaotungensis mixed forest; S4, Q. liaotungensis forest.

# [Fig. 2.](#page-3-0)

## 2.4. Data calculation

The proportions of new soil OC ( $f_{new}$ ) and old soil OC ( $f_{old}$ ) were estimated based on the mass balance formulas ([Deng et al., 2016](#page-9-1)):

$$
f_{new} = (\delta_{new} - \delta_{old}) \times 100\% / (\delta_{veg} - \delta_{old})
$$
\n(2)

$$
f_{old} = 100 - f_{new} \tag{3}
$$

where  $\delta_{\text{new}}$  is the  $\delta^{13}$ C value of the soil sample from current land use,  $\delta_{\rm old}$  is the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the soil sample previous to land-use change (or soil samples from the paired 'control' sites) and  $d_{\text{veg}}$  is the  $\delta^{13}$ C value of

the mixed litter of current vegetation. Decomposition rate constants (k) of soil OC were estimated using the following equations [\(Marin-Spiotta](#page-10-5) [et al., 2009](#page-10-5)):

$$
k = -\ln(C_t/C_0)/t \tag{4}
$$

where  $C_0$  is the initial soil OC stock (soil OC stock in the reference sites),  $C_t$  is initial soil OC stock remaining (old C stock) at time t (year) since land use change.

Although the increase rate of new soil C and total SOC may not be change with time since land use change, the average increase rate can be calculated using the following equation [\(Li et al., 2012](#page-9-19)):

Rate of increase in new soil C (or total SOC)  $(g m^{-2}yr^{-1}) = \Delta X/\Delta t$  (5)

where  $\Delta$  X is the change of new soil OC (or total SOC) stocks following land use change, and  $\Delta$  t represents years since conversion (year). By multiplying the current total SOC stocks by the corresponding proportion of new soil OC, new soil OC stocks at deforestation and reforestation sites can be calculated.

Soil OC stocks were calculated using SOC concentration (g  $kg^{-1}$ ), soil thickness (D, cm) and bulk density (BD, g cm<sup>-3)</sup> at each site [\(Guo](#page-9-20) and Giff[ord, 2002a](#page-9-20)):

$$
C_s = SOC \times BD \times D/10 \tag{6}
$$

The SOC sequestration was estimated using the following equation ([Deng et al., 2014a](#page-9-21)):

Carbon sequences transition (Mg 
$$
ha^{-1}
$$
):  $\Delta C_s = C_{LUn} - C_{LU0}$  (7)

where C<sub>LUn</sub> is represent soil OC stocks at each vegetation restoration stage (g m<sup>-2</sup>), and C<sub>LU0</sub> is soil OC stocks at the stage of grassland.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the difference between the average values. Before performing the ANOVA procedure, all data were premised on the normality and homogeneity of variance. Correlation analysis was used to study the relationships among the different soil and plant properties. A redundancy analysis (RDA) were conducted using R program to assess the effects of soil and plant properties on soil OC stocks, the rates of total soil OC stocks increase and k increase with restoration age after farmland abandonment.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Changes in SOC stocks and SOC sequestrations

Overall, SOC stocks and SOC sequestrations in the 0−30 cm soil depths in S2, S3, S4 were significantly higher than in S1 ([Fig. 3,](#page-4-0) d and h). Except for soil aggregate ( $> 2$  mm) associated carbon stocks and sequestrations showed significantly decreased compared to S1 in 0−10 cm soil depths ([Fig. 3,](#page-4-0) c and g). SOC stocks and SOC sequestrations of S2 and S3 were the highest in the 10−30 cm soil depths, but S4 was the greatest in the 0−10 cm soil depths ([Fig. 3\)](#page-4-0).

In total, the rates of soil organic carbon sequestrations increased in S2, S3, and S4, however, the rates of  $> 2$  mm soil aggregate associated carbon sequestrations decreased in 0−10 cm and 20−30 cm soil depths [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-5-0). The rates of S3 showed the highest in 0−10 cm soil depths (), and S2 was the highest in 20−30 cm soil depths ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)).

## 3.2. Changes in new and old SOC

The proportion of old SOC increased, while the proportions of new SOC decreased with different restoration stage since land use change ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0), d). The gain of new SOC accounted for 30 %, 16 %, 33 % of the total SOC stocks in the S4 stage in 0−10 cm, 10−20 cm, 20−30 cm soil depths, respectively, however, the proportions of new SOC in S2 and S3 exceeded the proportions of old SOC ([Fig. 5,](#page-6-0) a and b). In

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 3. Soil aggregate associated organic carbon stocks (a, b, c and d) and sequestrations (e, f, g and h) for four land use types accompanying vegetation restoration. Note: The values are mean  $\pm$  SE (error bar), n = 3. S1, Lespedeza bicolor grassland; S2, P. Davidiana forest; S3, P. davidiana and Q. liaotungensis mixed forest; S4, Q. liaotungensis forest.

addition, the proportions of new or old SOC had different distribution trends in different soil aggregate particle size and soil depths ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0), a–c).

SOC decomposition rate decreased after S1 stages in all soil depths and soil aggregate particle size [\(Fig. 6](#page-7-0)). S2 had the highest SOC decomposition rate in the 10−30 cm soil depths and all soil aggregate particle size, meanwhile, S2 and S3 showed no significant difference and higher than S4 in 0−10 cm soil depths. S4 and S3 had the lowest SOC decomposition rate in 10−20 cm soil depths and 20−30 cm soil depths, respectively.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 4. Rate of total soil aggregate associated organic carbon increase for four land use types accompanying vegetation restoration. Note: The values are mean  $\pm$  SE (error bar), n = 3. S1, Lespedeza bicolor grassland; S2, P. Davidiana forest; S3, P. davidiana and Q. liaotungensis mixed forest; S4, Q. liaotungensis forest.

# 3.3. Factors effects on SOC stocks, rate of total SOC increase and decomposition rate constant

The results from RDA indicating how SOC stocks, rate of total SOC increase and decomposition rate constant relate to soil and plant properties ([Fig. 7\)](#page-7-1). In detail, clay, silt, TN, PN, C: N, and PC:PN played an important role in the dispersion of the sites along the first axis ([Fig. 7](#page-7-1), a). Soil aggregate associated organic carbon had a positive relationship with PN, MWD, TN, C: N, while had a negative relationship with clay, silt, BD, and PC: PN ([Fig. 7,](#page-7-1) a); Plant diversity, BD, pH, PC, PC: PN, MWD, C: N and slope played an important role in the dispersion

of the sites along the first axis [\(Fig. 7,](#page-7-1) b).  $< 0.25$  mm soil aggregation decomposition rate constant had a positive relationship with clay, PC: PN, PC and pH, while had a negative relationship with plant diversity, BD, slope and N. The rest of decomposition rate constant had a positive relationship with C: N, MWD, pH, PC and PC: PN, and had a negative relationship with plant diversity, BD, slope, N, and clay [\(Fig. 7](#page-7-1), b); PN, clay, silt, PN, TN, C: N, PC: PN, MWD and BD played an important role in the dispersion of the sites along the first axis ([Fig. 7,](#page-7-1) c). Rate of > 0.25 mm soil aggregates associated SOC increase had a positive relationship with N, C: N, plant diversity, BD and slope, while had a negative relationship with clay, silt, PC: PN, MWD and PC. The rest of rate of SOC increase had a positive relationship with N, C: N and plant diversity.

Stepwise regression analysis showed that the rate of new SOC was mainly determined by clay, decomposition rate content was mainly determined by BD, and SOC stocks were mainly determined by silt, sand, coverage and PN in the 0−10 cm soil depths [\(Table 3\)](#page-8-0). In the 20−30 cm soil depths, the rate of new SOC was mainly determined by plant diversity and C: N, decomposition rate content and SOC stocks were mainly determined by sand ([Table 3\)](#page-8-0). In total, the rate of new SOC was mainly determined by Clay, plant diversity and C: N, decomposition rate content was mainly determined by BD, and SOC stocks were mainly determined by sand. PN and TC [\(Table2](#page-1-1)).

# 4. Discussion

# 4.1. Dynamic distribution of SOC stock changes

Land use change and soil depth had an effect on SOC stocks ([Table 4\)](#page-8-1), which was in consistent with [Kirsten et al. \(2018\)](#page-9-22) and [Qin](#page-10-12) [et al. \(2016\)](#page-10-12). Mainly because surface soil contains most of residues and roots, and the majority of SOC change was occurred in this zone. In the process of land use change, carbon stocks of ecosystem services can be explored by plant-soil feedbacks [\(van der Putten et al., 2013v\)](#page-10-13). Ecological succession lead to changes of in plant biomass, soil microbial community composition and other plant-soil properties [\(Wang et al.,](#page-10-14) [2009;](#page-10-14) [Zhang et al., 2016c\)](#page-10-15). Therefore, the ecological successions triggered either by afforestation or by disturbances caused SOC increase ([Muñoz-Rojas et al., 2015;](#page-10-16) [Zhao et al., 2015\)](#page-10-17), SOC decrease followed by accumulation [\(Menichetti et al., 2017\)](#page-10-18), and limited SOC change [\(Bonet,](#page-9-23) [2004\)](#page-9-23). In this study, soil carbon stocks and sequestrations in the  $0-10$ cm soil depths firstly increased and then decreased along with the natural succession [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)), but in the 10−20 cm and 20−30 cm soil depths were continuously decreased ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)). These results were inconsistent with previous studies [\(Deng et al., 2013](#page-9-6), [2016](#page-9-1)) in the surface soil. This is probably because: (1) higher biodiversity and functional traits increased the uptake of carbon into soil system and thus lead to higher soil carbon stocks and sequestrations ([Lange et al., 2015](#page-9-24); [Steinbeiss et al., 2008](#page-10-19)); (2) stable aggregate facilitated physical protection of SOC ([Six et al., 2004](#page-10-20)); (3) PC:PN had a negative relationship with soil carbon stocks [\(Fig. 7](#page-7-1), a). In this study, S3 had the highest Shannon-Wiener index and the highest MWD ([Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0), all this caused soil carbon stocks and sequestrations firstly increased and then decreased. In addition, the presence of more chemically recalcitrant compounds such as lignin and phenolics in Quercus wutaishansea than in Populus davidiana caused the rate of decomposition and carbon input were continuously decreased along with the natural succession ([Wang](#page-10-21) [et al., 2016](#page-10-21); [Zhong et al., 2017\)](#page-10-22).

[An et al. \(2009\)](#page-9-25); [Jangid et al. \(2011\)](#page-9-26) and [Zhang et al. \(2015\)](#page-10-2) found that SOC sequestration rate, soil nutrients, soil microorganisms and microbial properties all were greatest in earlier stages of restoration. These results were consistent with the rates of total SOC increase decreased in 10−20 cm and 20−30 cm soil depths in this study, but inconsistent with 0−10 cm soil depths along with the natural succession [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-5-0). The possible mechanism was because litter biomass in S3 is the highest, so the input of new carbon increased significantly in the

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 5. Proportion of new and old soil aggregate associated organic carbon for four land use types accompanying vegetation restoration ( $N = 3$ ). Note: S2, P. Davidiana forest; S3, P. davidiana and Q. liaotungensis mixed forest; S4, Q. liaotungensis forest.

surface soil. Moreover, compared with S3 and S4, the low crown density and plant biodiversity of S2, was easier to cause surface carbon leaching to the deeper layer, especially in the  $> 2$  mm soil aggregate ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)). Additionally, as vegetation succession advances, soil organic matter input and output reached a balance, so all these caused the distribution of SOC sequestration rate had a difference in the surface and deep soil.

# 4.2. Changes in proportions of new and old SOC following land use change

The ratio of old and new SOC can indicate the dynamics of the source of SOC in soils, and can provide important information about the dynamics of SOC after land use change ([Zhang et al., 2015](#page-10-2)). In this study, the proportion of old SOC increased, while new SOC showed an opposite trend following land use change ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0)). [Zhang et al. \(2015\)](#page-10-2) and [Marin-Spiotta et al. \(2009\)](#page-10-5) also indicated land use change was an important influencing factor to determine the proportions of new and old SOC in soils. The changes in litter quality, soil nutrient availability, and soil enzyme activity caused litter decomposition rate [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-7-0) and new carbon input decreased following long-term vegetation recovery ([Zhong et al., 2017\)](#page-10-22), which produced soil organic matter with different  $13C^{12}$ C ratio ([Marin-Spiotta et al., 2009\)](#page-10-5).

The SOC decomposition rate decreased significantly as the years of vegetation restoration increased [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-7-0). The rate of new SOC increase was low in the early stage also because the high decomposition rate cannot contribute carbon sequestration [\(Marian et al., 2017\)](#page-10-23). Meanwhile, the increases in old SOC in the S4 could be because the protection of soil organic matter had been restored or completely reestablished ([Paul et al., 2002;](#page-10-24) [Zhang et al., 2015](#page-10-2)). In addition, the rapid and bulk flow of the distribution of proportions of old SOC may bypass < 2 mm soil aggregate (Kavdı[r and Smucker, 2005\)](#page-9-27), resulting in greater leaching to  $> 2$  mm soil aggregate [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-6-0).

Therefore, from the perspective of community succession, it is necessary to artificially interfere with vegetation succession to the climax community to increase carbon sequestration potential. However, from the perspective of climax community management, soil erosion should be controlled by increasing soil aggregate stability and > 2 mm soil aggregate, and thus maintaining SOC sequestration.

# 4.3. Factors controls over SOC stocks, rate of total SOC increase and decomposition rate constant

SOC stocks were affected by direct or indirect human induced ([Smith, 2005\)](#page-10-25), land use change ([Don et al., 2011\)](#page-9-9), soil erosion ([Martinez-Mena et al., 2008](#page-10-26)), climate [\(Crowther et al., 2016\)](#page-9-28), microbial community and activity ([Huang et al., 2017\)](#page-9-29), and physicochemical properties [\(Eze et al., 2018;](#page-9-30) [Paz et al., 2016\)](#page-10-27). In this study, soil particles and plant nutrients had important effects on SOC stocks, canopy also showed an influence on SOC stocks in the surface soil [\(Table 3 and 4](#page-8-0)). Consistent with our results ([Fig. 7](#page-7-1)), [Ungaro et al. \(2010\)](#page-10-28) and [Parras-](#page-10-29)[Alcántara et al. \(2015\)](#page-10-29) also demonstrated negative relationship between clay, silt and SOC stocks, but [Kucuker et al. \(2015\)](#page-9-31) and [Deng](#page-9-1) [et al. \(2016\)](#page-9-1) found the opposite conclusion. The main reason is that the restoration of vegetation and proper management can increase clay, slit and SOC stocks, but the difference in sampling time, rainfall intensity or vegetation degradation lead to the reduction of clay, silt and SOC stocks. In addition, many studies have reported clay and silt content had a significant positive relationship with aggregate stability ([Carter,](#page-9-32) [1992;](#page-9-32) [Kemper and Koch, 1966\)](#page-9-33), and < 0.25 mm aggregate associated carbon level also can influence aggregate stability [\(Denef et al., 2004](#page-9-34)).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 6. Soil aggregate associated organic carbon decomposition rate constants for four land use types accompanying vegetation restoration. Note: The values are mean  $\pm$  SE (error bar), n = 3. S1, Lespedeza bicolor grassland; S2, P. Davidiana forest; S3, P. davidiana and Q. liaotungensis mixed forest; S4, Q. liaotungensis forest.

In this study, land use change had an important effect on MWD ([Table 4\)](#page-8-1), and < 0.25 mm aggregate associated carbon stocks (cs1) had a positive relationship with MWD and SOC stocks (cs) ([Fig. 7,](#page-7-1) a and b). Moreover, aggregate stability is one of the key parameters defining soil transportability via soil erosion [\(Doetterl et al., 2016](#page-9-35)), and it also had significant relationship with SOC dynamics ([Bremenfeld et al., 2013](#page-9-36)). Therefore, < 0.25 mm aggregate associated carbon may cause the difference of relationships between clay content and soil carbon stocks.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

Fig. 7. Redundancy analysis (RDA) of soil aggregate associated OC stocks (a), decomposition rate constants (b) and rate of new SOC increase (c), using plant and soil properties as environment variables. Note: BD, soil bulk density; Div, Shannon-Wiener index; PC, plant carbon; PN, plant nitrogen; TN, soil total nitrogen; Cs, Soil total carbon stocks; Cs1, < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; Cs2, 0.25-2 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; Cs3, > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; ks, Soil total carbon decomposition rate constants; ks1, < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; ks2, 0.25-2 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; ks3, > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; rc, rate of new SOC increase; rc1, rate of new < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase; rc2, rate of new 0.25-2 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase; rc3, rate of new > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase.

This research had a relatively  $low < 0.25$  mm aggregate associated carbon, which caused the negative correlation between SOC stocks and clay and silt content ([Fig. 7](#page-7-1)). This study also showed PN and C: N played an important role in SOC stocks [\(Table 3](#page-8-0)). Nitrogen availability influences the decomposition of soil organic matter, and the interaction of nitrogen and carbon offers a plethora of mechanisms to alter ecosystem carbon dynamics [\(Heimann and Reichstein, 2008\)](#page-9-37). The C: N stoichiometry of soils also determined increases in SOC stocks ([Finn et al.,](#page-9-38) [2015\)](#page-9-38). Therefore, the SOC stocks had positive relationship with plant and soil nitrogen and C: N ratio ([Fig. 7](#page-7-1)).

Land use change, litter quality, soil properties and environmental

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 3

Summary of stepwise regression models of measured soil variables with determining factors following vegetation restoration  $(N = 3)$ .



Note: BD, soil bulk density; PC, plant carbon; PN, plant nitrogen; TN, soil total nitrogen; Cov, Covreage; Div, Shannon-Wiener index; Cs, Soil total carbon stocks; Cs1, < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; Cs2, 0.25−2 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; Cs3, > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; ks, Soil total carbon decomposition rate constants; ks1, < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; ks2, 0.25−2 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; ks3, > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; rc, rate of new SOC increase; rc1, rate of new < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase; rc2, rate of new 0.25−2 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase; rc3, rate of new > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase.

factors control the decomposition rate [\(Bronick and Lal, 2005;](#page-9-39) [Knops](#page-9-40) [et al., 2002\)](#page-9-40). In this study, land use change had an important effect on the decomposition rate [\(Table 4\)](#page-8-1), and it were mainly determined by soil particle size fractions and BD [\(Table 3\)](#page-8-0). In addition, [Table 4](#page-8-1) also showed soil depth had no significant influence on soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate, mainly because too much biomass coverage on the surface leads to consistent 0−30 cm soil temperature and humidity ([Gill and Burke, 2002\)](#page-9-41). Compaction can decrease porosity while increasing soil BD ([Bronick and Lal, 2005\)](#page-9-39), thus result in destroyed soil aggregates and then stimulate SOC decomposition rate constant as well as increasing accessibility to soil erosion ([Zhou et al.,](#page-10-30) [2017\)](#page-10-30). Soil particles were related with diffusion of enzymes and other solutes, and diffusion is an important mechanism limiting the decomposition rate by microbial activity [\(Frøseth and Bleken, 2015](#page-9-42)). Based on "nitrogen mining" theory, when nitrogen is readily available in mineral form, lignin degraders have little incentive to invest resources to produce lignolytic enzymes [\(Zhang et al., 2016a\)](#page-10-31). So even if a significant effect of tree diversity to indirectly support decomposition rate

#### <span id="page-8-1"></span>Table 4

Two-way ANOVA results of the effects of land use type (LUC), soil depths (Dep) and their interaction (LUC  $\times$  Dep) on plant and soil properties (N = 3).



Note: The bold data indicates significant differences in Two-way ANOVA results. BD, soil bulk density; PC, plant carbon; PN, plant nitrogen; TN, soil total nitrogen; Cs, Soil total carbon stocks; Cs1,  $\leq$  0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; Cs2, 0.25-2 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; Cs3, > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC stocks; ks, Soil total carbon decomposition rate constants; ks1, < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; ks2, 0.25-2 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; ks3, > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC decomposition rate constants; rc, rate of new SOC increase; rc1, rate of new < 0.25 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase; rc2, rate of new 0.25-2 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase; rc3, rate of new > 2 mm soil aggregate associated OC increase.

constants by supporting the diversities of understorey herbaceous plants and soil fungi [\(Fujii et al., 2017](#page-9-43)), plant diversity and nitrogen also had negative relationship with decomposition rate constants ([Fig. 7](#page-7-1), b). Additionally, C: N ratio can be controlled by nitrogen addition to reduce decomposition rate constants [\(Zhang et al., 2016a](#page-10-31)). Meanwhile, C: N, plant diversity and clay determined the proportions of new SOC [\(Table 3](#page-8-0)), land use change and soil depth also influenced it ([Table 4\)](#page-8-1). In contrast, due to the higher rate of new SOC input into soils than new nitrogen input, the proportions of new SOC had significant positive relationships with nitrogen and C: N ratio ([Fig. 7](#page-7-1), c). The effectiveness of SOC in forming stable aggregates is also determined decomposition rate, which in turn is influenced by its physical and chemical protection from microbial action [\(Bronick and Lal, 2005](#page-9-39)). Thus caused C: N ratio and MWD had a positive relationship with decomposition rate constant [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-7-1). [Wang et al. \(2013\)](#page-10-32) showed that under similar environmental conditions, organic matter in sandy soils decomposes faster than fine-textured soils, however, the study indicated the decomposition rate constant was significantly negatively correlated with silt and sand content [\(Fig. 7,](#page-7-1) b). The main reason is the serious soil erosion in the study area, and SOC in the sandy soils is more likely to be lost and unstable than fine-textured soils.

In addition, consistent with results of SOC stocks (Cs), decomposition rate constants (ks) and [Deng et al. \(2016\),](#page-9-1) land use change and soil depth influenced rate of increase of new SOC, and clay content, C: N, and plant diversity also played an important role [\(Tables 3 and 4\)](#page-8-0). All results showed that we should improve plant biodiversity, regulate the soil C: N ratio, increase aggregate stability, and improve soil particle structure to increase soil carbon sequestration.

#### 5. Conclusions

Land use change had a significant influence on soil aggregate associated organic carbon dynamics, and soil particles, C: N, MWD, BD and plant diversity also played an important role. Moreover, SOC stocks had a negative relationship with clay and silt, while had a positive relationship with MWD and sandy soils. decomposition rate constants had a negative relationship with plant diversity, silt, and sand, while had a positive relationship with C: N and MWD. The proportions of new SOC had significant positive relationships with C: N, and it had a negative relationship with clay and silt. Therefore, we should clarify the formation mechanism of soil particles and aggregates, improve plant biodiversity, regulate the soil C: N ratio, and improve soil particle structure to increase soil carbon sequestration. Meanwhile, based on the results of soil aggregate associated organic carbon dynamics, we also believed that it is necessary to artificially interfere with vegetation succession to the climax community to maintain high biodiversity and carbon sequestration potential.

#### Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

## Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the open Fund of State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Institute of Water and Soil Conservation, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Water Resources (A314021402-2004), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41877538).

### References

- <span id="page-9-25"></span>[An, S.S., Huang, Y.M., Zheng, F.I., 2009. Evaluation of soil microbial indices along a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0005) [revegetation chronosequence in grassland soils on the Loess Plateau, Northwest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0005) [China. Appl. Soil Ecol. 41 \(3\), 286](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0005)–292.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[Arai, H., Tokuchi, N., 2010. Soil organic carbon accumulation following a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0010)fforestation in a [Japanese coniferous plantation based on particle-size fractionation and stable isotope](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0010) [analysis. Geoderma 159 \(3\), 425](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0010)–430.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[Blankinship, J.C., Fonte, S.J., Six, J., Schimel, J.P., 2016. Plant versus microbial controls](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0015) [on soil aggregate stability in a seasonally dry ecosystem. Geoderma 272, 39](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0015)–50.
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>[Bonet, A., 2004. Secondary succession of semi-arid Mediterranean old-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0020)fields in south[eastern Spain: insights for conservation and restoration of degraded lands. J. Arid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0020) [Environ. 56 \(2\), 213](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0020)–233.
- <span id="page-9-36"></span>[Bremenfeld, S., Fiener, P., Govers, G., 2013. E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0025)ffects of interrill erosion, soil crusting and [soil aggregate breakdown on in situ CO2 e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0025)ffluxes. Catena 104, 14–20.
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[Bremner, J.M., Mulvaney, C.S., 1982. Nitrogen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0030)—Total. In: Page, A.L. (Ed.), Methods of [Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0030) [Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, pp. 595](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0030)–624.
- <span id="page-9-39"></span>[Bronick, C.J., Lal, R., 2005. Soil structure and management: a review. Geoderma 124 \(1\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0035) 3–[22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0035).
- <span id="page-9-32"></span>Carter, M.R., 1992. Infl[uence of reduced tillage systems on organic matter, microbial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0040) [biomass, macro-aggregate distribution and structural stability of the surface soil in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0040) [humid climate. Soil Tillage Res. 23 \(4\), 361](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0040)–372.
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[Chen, Y., Wang, K., Lin, Y., Shi, W., Song, Y., He, X., 2015. Balancing green and grain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0045) [trade. Nat. Geosci. 8, 739.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0045)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[Croft, H., Kuhn, N.J., Anderson, K., 2012. On the use of remote sensing techniques for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0050) [monitoring spatio-temporal soil organic carbon dynamics in agricultural systems.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0050) [Catena 94, 64](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0050)–74.
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>[Crowther, T.W., Todd-Brown, K.E.O., Rowe, C.W., Wieder, W.R., Carey, J.C., Machmuller,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0055) [M.B., Snoek, B.L., Fang, S., Bradford, M.A., 2016. Quantifying global soil carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0055) [losses in response to warming. Nature 540, 104.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0055)
- <span id="page-9-34"></span>[Denef, K., Six, J., Merckx, R., Paustian, K., 2004. Carbon sequestration in microaggregates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0060) of no-tillage soils with diff[erent clay mineralogy. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 68 \(6\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0060) 1935–[1944](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0060).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[Deng, L., Wang, K.B., Chen, M.L., Shangguan, Z.P., Sweeney, S., 2013. Soil organic carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0065) [storage capacity positively related to forest succession on the Loess Plateau, China.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0065) [Catena 110, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0065)–7.
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[Deng, L., Liu, G.B., Shangguan, Z.P., 2014a. Land](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0070)‐use conversion and changing soil carbon stocks in China's 'Grain‐for‐Green' [Program: a synthesis. Glob. Chang. Biol. 20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0070) [\(11\), 3544](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0070)–3556.
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[Deng, L., Wang, K.B., Shangguan, Z.P., 2014b. Long-term natural succession improves](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0075) nitrogen [storage capacity of soil on the Loess Plateau, China. Soil Res. 52 \(3\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0075) 262–[270](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0075).

<span id="page-9-1"></span>[Deng, L., Wang, K.B., Tang, Z.S., Shangguan, Z.P., 2016. Soil organic carbon dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0080)

[following natural vegetation restoration: Evidence from stable carbon isotopes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0080) (δ[13C\). Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 221, 235](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0080)–244.

- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[Doetterl, S., Stevens, A., Six, J., Merckx, R., Van Oost, K., Casanova Pinto, M., Casanova-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0085)[Katny, A., Muñoz, C., Boudin, M., Zagal Venegas, E., Boeckx, P., 2015. Soil carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0085) [storage controlled by interactions between geochemistry and climate. Nat. Geosci. 8,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0085) [780](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0085).
- <span id="page-9-35"></span>[Doetterl, S., Berhe, A.A., Nadeu, E., Wang, Z., Sommer, M., Fiener, P., 2016. Erosion,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0090) [deposition and soil carbon: a review of process-level controls, experimental tools and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0090) [models to address C cycling in dynamic landscapes. Earth. Rev. 154, 102](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0090)–122. [Don, A., Schumacher, J., Freibauer, A., 2011. Impact of tropical land-use change on soil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0095)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>organic carbon stocks – [a meta-analysis. Glob. Change Biol. 17 \(4\), 1658](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0095)–1670.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[Eclesia, R.P., Jobbagy, E.G., Jackson, R.B., Rizzotto, M., Piñeiro, G.J., 2016. Stabilization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0100) [of new carbon inputs rather than old carbon decomposition determines soil organic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0100) [carbon shifts following woody or herbaceous vegetation transitions. Plant Soil 409](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0100) [\(1\), 99](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0100)–116.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[England, J.R., Paul, K.I., Cunningham, S.C., Madhavan, D.B., Baker, T.G., Read, Z.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0105) [Wilson, B.R., Cavagnaro, T.R., Lewis, T., Perring, M.P., Herrmann, T., Polglase, P.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0105) [2016. Previous land use and climate in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0105)fluence differences in soil organic carbon [following reforestation of agricultural land with mixed-species plantings. Agric.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0105) [Ecosyst. Environ. 227, 61](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0105)–72.
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>[Eze, S., Palmer, S.M., Chapman, P.J., 2018. Soil organic carbon stock in grasslands: e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0110)ffects [of inorganic fertilizers, liming and grazing in di](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0110)fferent climate settings. J. Environ. [Manage. 223, 74](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0110)–84.
- <span id="page-9-38"></span>[Finn, D., Page, K., Catton, K., Strounina, E., Kienzle, M., Robertson, F., Armstrong, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0115) Dalal, R., 2015. Eff[ect of added nitrogen on plant litter decomposition depends on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0115) [initial soil carbon and nitrogen stoichiometry. Soil Biol. Biochem. 91, 160](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0115)–168.
- <span id="page-9-42"></span>Frøseth, R.B., Bleken, M.A., 2015. Eff[ect of low temperature and soil type on the de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0120)[composition rate of soil organic carbon and clover leaves, and related priming e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0120)ffect. [Soil Biol. Biochem. 80, 156](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0120)–166.
- <span id="page-9-43"></span>[Fujii, S., Mori, A.S., Koide, D., Makoto, K., Matsuoka, S., Osono, T., Isbell, F., 2017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0125) [Disentangling relationships between plant diversity and decomposition processes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0125) [under forest restoration. J. Appl. Ecol. 54 \(1\), 80](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0125)–90.
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[Fujisaki, K., Perrin, A.S., Desjardins, T., Bernoux, M., Balbino, L.C., Brossard, M., 2015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0130) [From forest to cropland and pasture systems: a critical review of soil organic carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0130) [stocks changes in Amazonia. Glob. Change Biol. 21 \(7\), 2773](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0130)–2786.
- <span id="page-9-41"></span>Gill, R.A., Burke, I.C., 2002. Infl[uence of soil depth on the decomposition of Bouteloua](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0135) [gracilis roots in the shortgrass steppe. Plant Soil 241 \(2\), 233](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0135)–242.
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[Grinand, C., Maire, G.L., Vieilledent, G., Razakamanarivo, H., Raza](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0140)fimbelo, T., Bernoux, [M., 2017. Estimating temporal changes in soil carbon stocks at ecoregional scale in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0140) [Madagascar using remote-sensing. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 54, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0140)–14.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Guillaume, [T., Damris, M., Kuzyakov, Y., 2015. Losses of soil carbon by converting tro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0145)[pical forest to plantations: erosion and decomposition estimated by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0145)  $\delta^{13}$ C. Glob. [Change Biol. 21 \(9\), 3548](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0145)–3560.
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>Guo, L.B., Giff[ord, R.J., 2002a. Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0150) [Glob. Change Biol. 8 \(4\), 345](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0150)–360.
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Guo, L.B., Giff[ord, R.M., 2002b. Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0155) [Glob. Change Biol. 8 \(4\), 345](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0155)–360.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>[Haghighi, F., Gorji, M., Shorafa, M., 2010. A study of the e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0160)ffects of land use changes on [soil physical properties and organic matter. Land Degrad. Dev. 21 \(5\), 496](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0160)–502.

<span id="page-9-37"></span>[Heimann, M., Reichstein, M., 2008. Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0165) [feedbacks. Nature 451, 289.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0165)

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[Herbst, M., Welp, G., Macdonald, A., Jate, M., Hädicke, A., Scherer, H., Gaiser, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0170) [Herrmann, F., Amelung, W., Vanderborght, J., 2018. Correspondence of measured](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0170) [soil carbon fractions and RothC pools for equilibrium and non-equilibrium states.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0170) [Geoderma 314, 37](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0170)–46.
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>[Huang, X., Liu, S., You, Y., Wen, Y., Wang, H., Wang, J.J., 2017. Microbial community](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0175) and associated enzymes activity infl[uence soil carbon chemical composition in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0175) [Eucalyptus urophylla plantation with mixing N2-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0175)fixing species in subtropical China. [Plant Soil 414 \(1\), 199](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0175)–212.
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>[Jangid, K., Williams, M.A., Franzluebbers, A.J., Schmidt, T.M., Coleman, D.C., Whitman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0180) [W.B., 2011. Land-use history has a stronger impact on soil microbial community](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0180) [composition than aboveground vegetation and soil properties. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0180) [\(10\), 2184](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0180)–2193.
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>Kavdı[r, Y., Smucker, A.J.M.J., 2005. Soil aggregate sequestration of cover crop root and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0185) [shoot-derived nitrogen. Plant Soil 272 \(1\), 263](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0185)–276.
- <span id="page-9-33"></span>[Kemper, W.D., Koch, E.J., 1966. Aggregate stability of soils from western United States](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0190) [and Canada, Technical Bulletin 1355. Agricultural Research Services. USDA in co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0190)[operation with Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0190)–52.

<span id="page-9-22"></span>[Kirsten, M., Vogel, C., Mikutta, R., Müller, C., Kimaro, D., Feger, K.H., Kalbitz, K., 2018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0195) [Impacts of land-use change on organic carbon storage in highly weathered soils of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0195) [tropical Sub-Sahara Africa. EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts 20, 12196.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0195)

- <span id="page-9-40"></span>[Knops, J.M.H., Bradley, K.L., Wedin, D.A., 2002. Mechanisms of plant species impacts on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0200) [ecosystem nitrogen cycling. Ecol. Lett. 5 \(3\), 454](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0200)–466.
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>[Kucuker, M.A., Guney, M., Oral, H.V., Copty, N.K., Onay, T.T., 2015. Impact of defor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0205)[estation on soil carbon stock and its spatial distribution in the Western Black Sea](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0205) [Region of Turkey. J. Environ. Manage. 147, 227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0205)–235.
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>[Lange, M., Eisenhauer, N., Sierra, C.A., Bessler, H., Engels, C., Gri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0210)ffiths, R.I., Mellado-[Vázquez, P.G., Malik, A.A., Roy, J., Scheu, S., Steinbeiss, S., Thomson, B.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0210) [Trumbore, S.E., Gleixner, G., 2015. Plant diversity increases soil microbial activity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0210) [and soil carbon storage. Nat. Commun. 6, 6707](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0210).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[Li, D., Niu, S., Luo, Y.J.N.P., 2012. Global patterns of the dynamics of soil carbon and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0215) nitrogen stocks following afforestation: a meta‐[analysis. New Phytol. 195 \(1\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0215) 172–[181](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0215).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[Liang, A., Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Yang, X., McLaughlin, N., Chen, X., Guo, Y., Jia, S., Zhang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0220) [S., Wang, L., Tang, J., 2019. Investigations of relationships among aggregate pore](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0220) [structure, microbial biomass, and soil organic carbon in a Mollisol using combined](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0220)

[non-destructive measurements and phospholipid fatty acid analysis. Soil Tillage Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0220) [185, 94](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0220)–101.

- <span id="page-10-23"></span>[Marian, F., Sandmann, D., Krashevska, V., Maraun, M., Scheu, S., 2017. Leaf and root](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0225) [litter decomposition is discontinued at high altitude tropical montane rainforests](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0225) [contributing to carbon sequestration. Ecol. Evol. 7 \(16\), 6432](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0225)–6443.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[Marin-Spiotta, E., Silver, W.L., Swanston, C.W., Ostertag, R., 2009. Soil organic matter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0230) [dynamics during 80 years of reforestation of tropical pastures. Glob. Change Biol. 15](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0230) [\(6\), 1584](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0230)–1597.
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>[Martinez-Mena, M., Lopez, J., Almagro, M., Boix-Fayos, C., Albaladejo, J., 2008. E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0235)ffect of [water erosion and cultivation on the soil carbon stock in a semiarid area of South-East](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0235) [Spain. Soil Tillage Res. 99 \(1\), 119](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0235)–129.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[Mendez-Millan, M., Nguyen Tu, T.T., Balesdent, J., Derenne, S., Derrien, D., Egasse, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0240) Thongo M'[Bou, A., Zeller, B., Hatté, C., 2014. Compound-speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0240)fic 13C and 14C [measurements improve the understanding of soil organic matter dynamics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0240) [Biogeochemistry 118 \(1\), 205](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0240)–223.
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>[Menichetti, L., Leifeld, J., Kirova, L., Szidat, S., Zhiyanski, M., 2017. Consequences of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0245) planned aff[orestation versus natural forest regrowth after disturbance for soil C](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0245) [stocks in Eastern European mountains. Geoderma 297, 19](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0245)–27.
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>[Muñoz-Rojas, M., Jordán, A., Zavala, L.M., De la Rosa, D., Abd-Elmabod, S.K., Anaya-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0250)[Romero, M., 2015. Impact of land use and land cover changes on organic carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0250) stocks in Mediterranean soils (1956–[2007\). Land Degrad. Dev. 26 \(2\), 168](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0250)–179.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Nelson, D. W., & Sommers, L. E. 1996. Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter. In: D. L. Sparks, Ed., Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3. Chemical Methods, SSSA Book Ser. 5. SSSA, Madison, 1996, 961-1010.
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>[Parras-Alcántara, L., Díaz-Jaimes, L., Lozano-García, B., 2015. Management e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0260)ffects on soil [organic carbon stock in mediterranean open rangelands](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0260)—treeless grasslands. Land [Degrad. Dev. 26 \(1\), 22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0260)–34.
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>[Paul, K.I., Polglase, P.J., Nyakuengama, J.G., Khanna, P.K., 2002. Change in soil carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0265) following aff[orestation. For. Ecol. Manage. 168 \(1\), 241](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0265)–257.
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>[Paz, C.P., Goosem, M., Bird, M., Preece, N., Goosem, S., Fensham, R., Laurance, S., 2016.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0270) Soil types infl[uence predictions of soil carbon stock recovery in tropical secondary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0270) [forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 376, 74](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0270)–83.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[Powers, J.S., Corre, M.D., Twine, T.E., Veldkamp, E., 2011. Geographic bias of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0275) field ob[servations of soil carbon stocks with tropical land-use changes precludes spatial ex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0275)[trapolation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 201016774](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0275).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[Qin, Z., Dunn, J.B., Kwon, H., Mueller, S., Wander, M.M., 2016. Soil carbon sequestration](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0280) [and land use change associated with biofuel production: empirical evidence. GCB](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0280) [Bioenergy 8 \(1\), 66](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0280)–80.
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>[Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., Denef, K., 2004. A history of research on the link between](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0285) [\(micro\)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil Tillage Res. 79](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0285) [\(1\), 7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0285)–31.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>[Smith, P., 2005. An overview of the permanence of soil organic carbon stocks: in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0290)fluence [of direct human-induced, indirect and natural e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0290)ffects. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 56 (5), 673–[680](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0290).
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>[Steinbeiss, S., Beßler, H., Engels, C., Temperton, V.M., Buchmann, N., Roscher, C., 2008.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0295) Plant diversity positively aff[ects short-term soil carbon storage in experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0295) [grasslands. Glob. Chang. Biol. 14 \(12\), 2937](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0295)–2949.
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Ungaro, F., Staffi[lani, F., Tarocco, P., 2010. Assessing and mapping topsoil organic carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0300) [stock at regional scale: a scorpan kriging approach conditional on soil map deli](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0300)[neations and land use. Land Degrad. Dev. 21 \(6\), 565](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0300)–581.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[van der Putten, W.H., Bardgett, R.D., Bever, J.D., Bezemer, T.M., Casper, B.B., Fukami, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0305)

Kardol, P., 2013v. Plant–[soil feedbacks: the past, the present and future challenges. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0305) [Ecol. 101 \(2\), 265](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0305)–276.

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[van Leeuwen, J.P., Djukic, I., Bloem, J., Lehtinen, T., Hemerik, L., de Ruiter, P.C., Lair,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0310) G.J., 2017v. Eff[ects of land use on soil microbial biomass, activity and community](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0310) structure at diff[erent soil depths in the Danube](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0310) floodplain. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 79, 14–[20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0310).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[van Straaten, O., Corre, M.D., Wolf, K., Tchienkoua, M., Cuellar, E., Matthews, R.B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0315) [Veldkamp, E., 2015v. Conversion of lowland tropical forests to tree cash crop plan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0315)[tations loses up to one-half of stored soil organic carbon. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0315) [112 \(32\), 9956](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0315)–9960.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[Wang, G.L., Liu, G.B., Xu, M.X., 2009. Above- and belowground dynamics of plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0320) [community succession following abandonment of farmland on the Loess Plateau,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0320) [China. Plant Soil 316 \(1\), 227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0320)–239.
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>[Wang, S., Fan, J., Song, M., Yu, G., Zhou, L., Liu, J., Zhong, H., Gao, L., Hu, Z., Wu, W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0325) Song, T., 2013. Patterns of SOC and soil <sup>13</sup>C and their relations to climatic factors and soil characteristics on the Qinghai–[Tibetan Plateau. Plant Soil 363 \(1\), 243](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0325)–255.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[Wang, J.P., Wang, X.J., Zhang, J., Zhao, C.Y., 2015. Soil organic and inorganic carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0330) [and stable carbon isotopes in the Yanqi Basin of northwestern China. Eur. J. Soil Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0330) [66 \(1\), 95](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0330)–103.
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>[Wang, J., You, Y., Tang, Z., Sun, X., Sun, O.J., 2016. A comparison of decomposition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0335) [dynamics among green tree leaves, partially decomposed tree leaf litter and their](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0335) [mixture in a warm temperate forest ecosystem. J. For. Res. 27 \(5\), 1037](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0335)–1045.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[Youker, R., McGuinness, J.J.S.S., 1957. A short method of obtaining mean weight-dia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0340)[meter values of aggregate analyses of soils. Soil Sci. 83 \(4\), 291](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0340)–294.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[Zhang, K., Dang, H., Zhang, Q., Cheng, X., 2015. Soil carbon dynamics following land-use](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0345) [change varied with temperature and precipitation gradients: evidence from stable](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0345) [isotopes. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21 \(7\), 2762](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0345)–2772.
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>[Zhang, W., Chao, L., Yang, Q., Wang, Q., Fang, Y., Wang, S., 2016a. Litter quality](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0350) mediated nitrogen eff[ect on plant litter decomposition regardless of soil fauna pre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0350)[sence. Ecology 97 \(10\), 2834](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0350)–2843.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[Zhang, Y.Q.W., Deng, L., Yan, W.M., Shangguan, Z.P., 2016b. Interaction of soil water](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0355) [storage dynamics and long-term natural vegetation succession on the Loess Plateau,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0355) [China. Catena 137, 52](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0355)–60.
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>[Zhang, C., Liu, G.B., Xue, S., Wang, G.L., 2016c. Soil bacterial community dynamics re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0360)fl[ect changes in plant community and soil properties during the secondary succession](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0360) [of abandoned farmland in the Loess Plateau. Soil Biol. Biochem. 97, 40](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0360)–49.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>[Zhao, Y.G., Liu, X.F., Wang, Z.L., Zhao, S.W., 2015. Soil organic carbon fractions and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0365) [sequestration across a 150-yr secondary forest chronosequence on the Loess Plateau,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0365) [China. Catena 133, 303](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0365)–308.
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>[Zhong, Y., Yan, W., Wang, R., Shangguan, Z.P., 2017. Di](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0370)fferential responses of litter [decomposition to nutrient addition and soil water availability with long-term vege](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0370)[tation recovery. Biol. Fertil. Soils 53 \(8\), 939](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0370)–949.
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>[Zhou, G., Zhou, X., He, Y., Shao, J., Hu, Z., Liu, R., Zhou, H., Hosseinibai, S., 2017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0375) Grazing intensity significantly aff[ects belowground carbon and nitrogen cycling in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0375) [grassland ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Global Change Bilogy 23 \(3\), 1167](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0375)–1179.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[Zhu, G.Y., Shangguan, Z.P., Deng, L., 2017. Soil aggregate stability and aggregate-asso](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0380)[ciated carbon and nitrogen in natural restoration grassland and Chinese red pine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0380) [plantation on the Loess Plateau. Catena 149, 253](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0380)–260.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[Zhu, G.Y., Deng, L., Shangguan, Z.P., 2018. E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0385)ffects of soil aggregate stability on soil N [following land use changes under erodible environment. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 262,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0385) 18–[28](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0167-1987(20)30564-X/sbref0385).